

Section A9	Classification and labelling	Official use only
Annex Point IIA, IX		
9.1 Current classification according to Directive 67/548/EEC	Burnt dolomitic lime is not currently included in Annex I of Council Directive 67/548/EEC or in Annex VI of Regulation EC/1272/2008 (Classification, Labelling and Packaging Regulation).	X
9.2 Proposed classification	<p>The Applicant presented the current classification for burnt dolomitic lime in Doc II-A of their submission.</p> <p>Class of Danger: Xi: Irritant</p> <p>Risk Phrases: R38: Irritating to skin R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes R37: Irritating to respiratory system</p> <p>The Applicant also indicated that this classification would trigger the following safety phrases: S2: Keep out of reach of children. S25: Avoid contact with eyes. S26: In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice. S37: Wear suitable gloves. S39: Wear eye/face protection.</p>	

Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>	
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	24 th February 2010
Conclusion	Section 9.2 The UK CA agrees with the applicant's proposed classification. The UK CA has not considered the safety phrases, and has not included them in the CA report, because they are triggered by the classification and do not need to be discussed specifically.
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	None
COMMENTS FROM ...	
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
Materials and Methods	<i>Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Results and discussion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Reliability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Acceptability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Remarks	

Section A9 Annex Point IIA, IX	Classification and labelling	Official use only
<p>9.1 Current classification according to Directive 67/548/EEC</p> <p>9.2 Proposed classification</p>	<p>Burnt lime is not currently included in Annex I of Council Directive 67/548/EEC or in Annex VI of Regulation EC/1272/2008 (Classification, Labelling and Packaging Regulation).</p> <p>The Applicant presented the current classification for burnt lime in Doc II-A of their submission.</p> <p>Class of Danger: Xi: Irritant</p> <p>Risk Phrases: R38: Irritating to skin R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes R37: Irritating to respiratory system</p> <p>The Applicant also indicated that this classification would trigger the following safety phrases: S2: Keep out of reach of children. S25: Avoid contact with eyes. S26: In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice. S37: Wear suitable gloves. S39: Wear eye/face protection.</p>	X

Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>	
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	24 th February 2010
Conclusion	<p>Section 9.2</p> <p>The UK CA agrees with the applicant's proposed classification.</p> <p>The UK CA has not considered the safety phrases, and has not included them in the CA report, because they are triggered by the classification and do not need to be discussed specifically.</p>
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	None
COMMENTS FROM ...	
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
Materials and Methods	<i>Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Results and discussion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Reliability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Acceptability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Remarks	

Section A9 Annex Point IIA, IX	Classification and labelling	Official use only
<p>9.1 Current classification according to Directive 67/548/EEC</p> <p>9.2 Proposed classification</p>	<p>Hydrated dolomitic lime is not currently included in Annex I of Council Directive 67/548/EEC or in Annex VI of Regulation EC/1272/2008 (Classification, Labelling and Packaging Regulation).</p> <p>The Applicant presented the current classification for hydrated dolomitic lime in Doc II-A of their submission.</p> <p>Class of Danger: Xi: Irritant</p> <p>Risk Phrases: R38: Irritating to skin R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes R37: Irritating to respiratory system</p> <p>The Applicant also indicated that this classification would trigger the following safety phrases: S2: Keep out of reach of children. S25: Avoid contact with eyes. S26: In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice. S37: Wear suitable gloves. S39: Wear eye/face protection.</p>	X

Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>	
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	24 th February 2010
Conclusion	<p>Section 9.2</p> <p>The UK CA agrees with the applicant's proposed classification.</p> <p>The UK CA has not considered the safety phrases, and has not included them in the CA report, because they are triggered by the classification and do not need to be discussed specifically.</p>
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	None
COMMENTS FROM ...	
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
Materials and Methods	<i>Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Results and discussion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Reliability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Acceptability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Remarks	

Section A9 Annex Point IIA, IX	Classification and labelling	Official use only
<p>9.1 Current classification according to Directive 67/548/EEC</p> <p>9.2 Proposed classification</p>	<p>Hydrated lime is not currently included in Annex I of Council Directive 67/548/EEC or in Annex VI of Regulation EC/1272/2008 (Classification, Labelling and Packaging Regulation).</p> <p>The Applicant presented the current classification for hydrated lime in Doc II-A of their submission.</p> <p>Class of Danger: Xi: Irritant</p> <p>Risk Phrases: R38: Irritating to skin R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes R37: Irritating to respiratory system</p> <p>The Applicant also indicated that this classification would trigger the following safety phrases: S2: Keep out of reach of children. S25: Avoid contact with eyes. S26: In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice. S37: Wear suitable gloves. S39: Wear eye/face protection.</p>	X

Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>	
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	24 th February 2010
Conclusion	<p>Section 9.2</p> <p>The UK CA agrees with the applicant's proposed classification.</p> <p>The UK CA has not considered the safety phrases, and has not included them in the CA report, because they are triggered by the classification and do not need to be discussed specifically.</p>
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	None
COMMENTS FROM ...	
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>
Materials and Methods	<i>Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Results and discussion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Reliability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Acceptability	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>
Remarks	

Section 10	(Sub)heading <i>(specify where appropriate)</i>	
Annex Point IIA, X	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF SECTIONS 2 TO 9	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
	<i>As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier. If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable</i>	
Other existing data [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Technically not feasible [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Scientifically unjustified [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Limited exposure [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Other justification [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	
Detailed justification:	Refer to Document IIA for a summary and evaluation of the data presented in Sections 2 to 9.	
Undertaking of intended data submission [<input type="checkbox"/>]	<i>Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)</i>	
Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>		
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	24/02/2010	
Evaluation of applicant's justification		
Conclusion	Acceptable	
Remarks		
COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE <i>(specify)</i>		
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Remarks		

Section 10	(Sub)heading <i>(specify where appropriate)</i>	
Annex Point IIA, X	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF SECTIONS 2 TO 9	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
	<i>As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier. If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable</i>	
Other existing data [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Technically not feasible [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Scientifically unjustified [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Limited exposure [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Other justification [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	
Detailed justification:	Refer to Document IIA for a summary and evaluation of the data presented in Sections 2 to 9.	
Undertaking of intended data submission [<input type="checkbox"/>]	<i>Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)</i>	
Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>		
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	24/02/2010	
Evaluation of applicant's justification		
Conclusion	Acceptable	
Remarks		
COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE <i>(specify)</i>		
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Remarks		

Section 10	(Sub)heading <i>(specify where appropriate)</i>	
Annex Point IIA, X	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF SECTIONS 2 TO 9	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
	<i>As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier. If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable</i>	
Other existing data []	Technically not feasible []	Scientifically unjustified []
Limited exposure []	Other justification [X]	
Detailed justification:	Refer to Document IIA for a summary and evaluation of the data presented in Sections 2 to 9.	
Undertaking of intended data submission []	<i>Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)</i>	
Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>		
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	24/02/2010	
Evaluation of applicant's justification		
Conclusion	Acceptable	
Remarks		
COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE <i>(specify)</i>		
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Remarks		

Section 10	(Sub)heading <i>(specify where appropriate)</i>	
Annex Point IIA, X	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF SECTIONS 2 TO 9	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA	Official use only
	<i>As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier. If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable</i>	
Other existing data [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Technically not feasible [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Scientifically unjustified [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Limited exposure [<input type="checkbox"/>]	Other justification [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	
Detailed justification:	Refer to Document IIA for a summary and evaluation of the data presented in Sections 2 to 9.	
Undertaking of intended data submission [<input type="checkbox"/>]	<i>Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)</i>	
Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
<i>Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted</i>		
EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	24/02/2010	
Evaluation of applicant's justification		
Conclusion	Acceptable	
Remarks		
COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE <i>(specify)</i>		
Date	<i>Give date of comments submitted</i>	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Conclusion	<i>Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state</i>	
Remarks		