Comments provided by: Company-Downstream user, FI

General information
Commonly commenting anticoagulant vs. mechanical / electrical traps as tried and/or discussed with professionals.

Alternative Identity and Properties
Electrical traps, mechanical traps.

Technical Feasibility
Technically electrical traps are not reliable outside in changing weather (rain/snow/ice/wind). Traps gather lots of irrelevant material like leafs and trash leading to obvious risk of fire. Protecting traps from leafs etc. seriously limit the use of electrical traps outside, where the traps mainly should be used. For mechanical traps, non-poisonous bites should be replaces at least weekly, which is not possible. For gathering electrical traps, rats avoid any trap that has even one rat in. Should therefore be checked daily and washed after each animal.

Economic Feasibility
Mechanical traps are cheap, but ineffective. Electrical traps are considerably costly and the ratio of cost - working efficacy and reliability is poor.

Hazards and Risks of the Alternative
Traps gather lots of irrelevant material like leafs and trash leading to obvious risk of fire. Significant risk of increased animals in the factory are, if poisonous bites are removed. Very difficult to maintain current good safety level. (UK denied poisonous traps in continuous use and now they are facing the problems as they cannot guarantee hygiene level).

Availability
Availability is OK for alternative methods.

Conclusion on suitability and availability of the alternative
Cannot maintain current level of hygiene and food safety with alternative methods.