Section 7.4.1.1 (2) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
3.2.8 Statistics	[redacted]	
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(2)-1. A single death occurred at the lowest concentration (0.096 mg/l) but this incidence was within historical control limits and the death was not considered related to treatment.	
4.2.4 Concentration/ response curve	The 96-hour dose-response slope was 4.8.	
4.2.5 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number/ percentage of animals showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	X

Section 7.4.1.1 (2) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1		Acute toxicity to fish	
5.2.1	LC0	Not stated; a single death at the lowest concentration was not considered treatment-related and was not used in the LC50 calculation	X
5.2.2	LC50	LC_{50} (96hr) = 0.28 mg/l (95% confidence limit = 0.23 and 0.34 mg/l) Dose response slope = 4.8 Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(2)-2	
5.2.3	LC100	Not stated but 100% mortality observed at 0.57 mg/l (measured).	
5.3	**Conclusion**	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration the 96 hr (NOEC) was found to be 0.096 mg/l.	X
5.3.1	Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	
5.3.2	Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	
		Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
		Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
		EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date		[redacted]	
Materials and Methods		[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.1 (2) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish
Results and discussion	[redacted]
Conclusion	[redacted]
Reliability	[redacted]
Acceptability	*acceptable*
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table 7.4.1.1(3)-1 **Cumulative Mortalities**

Concentration (mg/l)	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 hours
0.096	0/20	0/20	1/20	1/20
0.18	0/20	1/20	4/20	4/20
0.31	2/20	5/20	6/20	6/20
0.57	20/20	20/20	20/20	20/20
1.00	20/20	20/20	20/20	20/20

Table 7.4.1.1(3)-2 **LC50**

LC50 (mg/l)	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr	96 hr
	0.39	0.34	0.28	0.28
95% confidence limits	(0.31-0.57)	(0.30-0.40)	(0.23-0.34)	(0.23-0.34)

Section 7.4.1.1(3) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Sword, M. C. and Stuerman, L. (1994) Static-Renewal Acute Toxicity of Alkyl Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chloride (ADBAC) to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) in Dilution Water Amended with 10 mg/l Humic Acid BC Laboratories, Columbia, MO, U. S. Report No. 41236 (unpublished). [Ref No: A7 (LON 3477)]	X
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Joint Venture	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS before 14 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes U.S. EPA TSCA 797.1400 Year: 1993 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.1(3) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
3.1.2 Specification	As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially 2.7 and 2.8 of Annex IIA. [redacted] *(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):*	X
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* Stable	
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.2 Test organisms	Fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) [redacted]	
3.2.3 Test system	[redacted]	
3.2.4 Test conditions	Static, daily renewal [redacted]	
3.2.5 Duration of the test	96 hours	
3.2.6 Test parameter	Mortality	

Section 7.4.1.1(3) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
3.2.7 Monitoring of test substance concentration	[redacted]	
3.2.8 Statistics	[redacted]	
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(3)-1	
4.2.4 Concentration/ response curve	The 96-hour dose-response slope was 14.	
4.2.5 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	
5.2.1 LC0	Not stated but 0.53 mg/l (measured) showed no effects or mortality	

Section 7.4.1.1(3) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1		Acute toxicity to fish	
5.2.2	LC50	LC_{50} (96hr) = 0.77 mg/l (95% confidence limit = 0.53 and 0.98 mg/l) Dose response slope = 14 Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(3)-2	
5.2.3	LC100	Not stated but 100% mortality observed at 1.8 mg/l (measured).	
5.3	**Conclusion**	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration the 96 hr (NOEC) was found to be 0.53 mg/l.	
5.3.1	Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	
5.3.2	Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	
		Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
		Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
		EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date		[redacted]	
Materials and Methods		[redacted]	
Results and discussion			
Conclusion			
Reliability		[redacted]	
Acceptability		*Not acceptable:* [redacted]	
Remarks			
		COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE	

Section 7.4.1.1(3) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table A7.4.1.1(3)-1 Cumulative Mortalities

Concentration (mg/l)	**24 hours**	**48 hours**	**72 hours**	**96 hours**
0.30	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
0.53	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
0.98	16/20	16/20	17/20	18/20
1.80	20/20	20/20	20/20	20/20
3.20	20/20	20/20	20/20	20/20

Table 7.4.1.1(3)-2 LC50

LC50 (mg/l)	**24 hr**	**48 hr**	**72 hr**	**96 hr**
	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.77

Section 7.4.1.1 (4) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Sword, M. C. and Stuerman, L. (1994) Static-Renewal Acute Toxicity of Alkyl Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chloride (ADBAC) to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) in Dilution Water Amended with 20 mg/l Humic Acid. ABC Laboratories, Columbia, MO, U. S. Report No. 41235 (unpublished). [Ref No: A8 (LON 3478)]	X
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Joint Venture	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS before 14 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes U.S. EPA TSCA 797.1400 Year: 1993 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	X
3.1.2 Specification	As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially 2.7 and 2.8 of Annex IIA. [redacted]	X

Section 7.4.1.1 (4) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
	[redacted] *(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):*	
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* Stable	
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.2 Test organisms	Fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) [redacted]	
3.2.3 Test system	[redacted]	
3.2.4 Test conditions	Static, daily renewal [redacted]	
3.2.5 Duration of the test	96 hours	
3.2.6 Test parameter	Mortality	
3.2.7 Monitoring of test substance concentration	[redacted]	
3.2.8 Statistics	[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.1 (4) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
	[redacted]	
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(4)-1	
4.2.4 Concentration/ response curve	The 96-hour dose-response slope was 15.	
4.2.5 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number/percentage of animals showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	
5.2.1 LC0	Not stated but 0.99 mg/l (measured) showed no effects or mortality	
5.2.2 LC50	LC_{50} (96hr) = 1.4 mg/l (95% confidence limit = 0.99 and 1.8 mg/l) Dose response slope = 15 Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(4)-2	

Section 7.4.1.1 (4) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**		**Acute toxicity to fish**	
5.2.3	LC100	Not stated but 100% mortality observed at 3.2 mg/l (measured).	
5.3	**Conclusion**	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration the 96 hr (NOEC) was found to be 0.99 mg/l.	
5.3.1	Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	
5.3.2	Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	
		Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
		Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
		EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date		[redacted]	
Materials and Methods		[redacted]	
Results and discussion			
Conclusion			
Reliability		[redacted]	
Acceptability		*Not acceptable:* [redacted]	
Remarks			
		COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE	

Section 7.4.1.1 (4) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table A7.4.1.1(4)-1 Cumulative Mortalities

Concentration (mg/l)	**24 hours**	**48 hours**	**72 hours**	**96 hours**
0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20
1.8	19/20	19/20	19/20	19/20
3.2	20/20	20/20	20/20	20/20

Table 7.4.1.1(4)-2 LC50

LC50 ppm (mg/l)	**24hr**	**48hr**	**72hr**	**96hr**
	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4

Section 7.4.1.1 (5) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Pate. H.O. and D.O. McIntyre (1991). Daily Static-Renewal Acute 96-hour Toxicity Test of Alkyl Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chloride (ADBAC) to Rainbow Trout. Battelle Columbus Division, Columbus, OH, U. S. Report No. SC890051 (unpublished). [Ref No: A8b (LON 1864)]	
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Joint Venture	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS before 14 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes U.S. EPA, FIFRA Subdivision E, Guideline 72-1, Hazard evaluation: Wildlife and aquatic organisms Year: 1990 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.1 (5) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**	
3.1.2 Specification	As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially 2.7 and 2.8 of Annex IIA. Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride was tested. *(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):*	X
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	X
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* Stable	
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.2 Test organisms	Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) supplied[redacted]	
3.2.3 Test system	[redacted]	
3.2.4 Test conditions	Static, daily renewal [redacted]	
3.2.5 Duration of the test	96 hours	

Section 7.4.1.1 (5) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
3.2.6 Test parameter	Mortality	
3.2.7 Monitoring of test substance concentration	[redacted]	
3.2.8 Statistics	[redacted]	
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]l	
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(5)-1	
4.2.4 Other effects	Three hours after test initiation, three fish in the 1.354 mg/l dose group were swimming erratically on the water surface. No mortality or non-lethal toxic symptoms occurred in test substance concentration of 0.619 mg/l during the 96-hour exposure.	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number/ percentage of animals showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.1 (5) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish	
	[redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	
5.2.1 LC0	LC_0 (96hr) = 0.619 mg/l	
5.2.2 LC50	LC_{50} (96hr) = 0.930 mg/l (95% confidence limit = 0.866 to 0.984 mg/l) Refer to Table 7.4.1.1(5)-2	
5.2.3 LC100		
5.3 Conclusion	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration the 96 hr (NOEC) was found to be 0.619 mg/l.	
5.3.1 Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	
5.3.2 Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	[redacted]	
Materials and Methods	[redacted]	
Results and discussion	[redacted]	
Conclusion	[redacted]	
Reliability	[redacted]	
Acceptability	*Acceptable*	
Remarks		
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE	
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*	

Section 7.4.1.1 (5) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.1**	**Acute toxicity to fish**
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table 7.4.1.1(5)-1 Mortality data

Concentration (mg/l)	**Percent Mortality at:**			
	24 hours	**48 hours**	**72 hours**	**96 hours**
1.354	10	95	100	100
1.204	0	60	100	100
1.029	0	5	30	60
0.864	0	0	25	40
0.619	0	0	0	0

Table 7.4.1.1(5)-2 LC50

LC50 (mg/l)	**24 hr**	**48 hr**	**72 hr**	**96 hr**
	>1.354	1.175	1.1066	0.930
95% confidence limits	not determined	(1.029-1.354)	(0.864-1.204)	(0.866-0.984)

Section 7.4.1.1 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish (marine)	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA *As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier.* *If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable*	Official use only
Other existing data [ ] **Limited exposure [X]**	**Technically not feasible [ ]** **Scientifically unjustified [ ]** **Other justification [ ]**	
Detailed justification:	[redacted]	
Undertaking of intended data submission [ ]	*Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)*	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	[redacted]	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	[redacted]	
Conclusion	*The applicant's justification is acceptable*	
Remarks		

Section 7.4.1.1 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.1	Acute toxicity to fish (marine)
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE *(specify)*
Date	*Give date of comments submitted*
Evaluation of applicant's justification	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Remarks	

Section 7.4.1.2(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Pate, H. O. and D. O. McIntyre (1991). Daily Static-Renewal Acute 48-Hour Toxicity Test of Alkyl Dimethyl Benzyl Ammonium Chloride (ADBAC) to *Daphnia magna*. . Report No. SC890052.Battelle Columbus Division, Columbus, OH, U. S (unpublished). [Ref No: A68 (LON 0097)]	
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Joint Venture	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS before 14 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes U.S. EPA FIFRA Subdivision E, Guideline 72-2 Hazard evaluation: Wildlife and aquatic organisms 1990 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.2(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates	
3.1.2 Specification	As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially 2.7 and 2.8 of Annex IIA. Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride was tested. *(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):*	X
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* Stable	
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.2 Test organisms	*Daphnia magna* [redacted]	
3.2.3 Test system	[redacted]	
3.2.4 Test conditions	*Daphnia magna* neonates [redacted] were treated with the test substance [redacted] l for 48 hours under static-renewal conditions. [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.2(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates	
	[redacted]	
3.2.5 Duration of the test	48 hours	
3.2.6 Test parameter	Mortality and immobilisation	
3.2.7 Monitoring of test substance concentration	[redacted]	
3.2.8 Statistics	[redacted]	
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	X
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	EC_{50} (24hr) = 0.0194 mg/l (95% confidence limits 0.0149 to 0.0272 mg/l) EC_{50} (48hr) = 0.0058 mg/l (95% confidence limits 0.0036 to 0.0075 mg/l) Refer to Table 7.4.1.2(1)-1	X
4.2.4 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number/ percentage of animals showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.2(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	X
5.2.1 EC0	Not defined	
5.2.2 EC50	EC_{50} (24hr) = 0.0194 mg/l (95% confidence limits 0.0149 to 0.0272 mg/l) EC_{50} (48hr) = 0.0058 mg/l (95% confidence limits 0.0036 to 0.0075 mg/l)	X
5.2.3 EC100	Not stated but 100% mortality observed at 0.0227 mg/l (measured).	
5.3 Conclusion	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC) was found to be less than 0.006 mg/l.	
5.3.1 Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	X
5.3.2 Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	[redacted]	
Materials and Methods	[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.2(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates
Results and discussion	[redacted]
Conclusion	[redacted]
Reliability	[redacted]
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table A7.4.1.2(1)-1 Mortality data

Mean measured concentration (mg/l)	Percent Mortality at:	
	24 hours	48 hours
0.0516	100	100
0.0272	100	100
0.0227	65	100
0.0149	25	95
0.0060	5	53
0.0	0	10

Section 7.4.1.2 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates (marine)	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA *As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier.* *If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable*	Official use only
Other existing data [ ] **Limited exposure [X]**	**Technically not feasible [ ]** **Scientifically unjustified [ ]** **Other justification [ ]**	
Detailed justification:	[redacted]	
Undertaking of intended data submission [ ]	[redacted]	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	[redacted]	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	[redacted]	
Conclusion	*The applicant's justification is acceptable*	
Remarks		
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE *(specify)*	

Section 7.4.1.2 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.2	Acute toxicity to invertebrates (marine)
Date	*Give date of comments submitted*
Evaluation of applicant's justification	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Remarks	

Section 7.4.1.3(1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.3	Growth inhibition test on algae	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Mayer, P, H. Oldersma and J. A. Schoonmade (2001). Determination of the effect of Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride (ADBAC) on the growth of fresh water green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum* (OECD Guideline No. 201 and EU C.3). TNO Chemistry, Delft, The Netherlands. Report no. 99-9072-03 (unpublished). [Ref No: A48 (LON 3374)]	
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Issues Steering Committee	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes OECD Guideline No. 201 "Algal Growth Inhibition Test" 2001 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	[redacted]	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted] [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.3(1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.3**	**Growth inhibition test on algae**	
3.1.2 Specification	*(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):* As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially Sections 2.6-2.8 therein. [redacted] Active substance (a.s.), alkyl(C_{12}-C_{16})dimethylbenzylammonium chloride (ADBAC; CAS RN 68424-85-1), in aqueous solution.	X
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* The non-radiolabelled a.s., ADBAC, is hydrolytically and photolytically stable under the conditions of this study and has been shown to be stable in aqueous, alcohol and alcohol/aqueous solutions for extended periods, *e.g.* at least five years under standard laboratory conditions (see Section 2.6.1 of Annex IIA).	X
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.2 Test organisms	*Selenastrum capricornutum* [redacted]	
3.2.3 Test system	[redacted]	X
3.2.4 Test conditions	The test was carried out at 22.3°C average, and a pH of 8.2. All other parameters were also within the guideline recommendations.	
3.2.5 Duration of the test	72 hours	
3.2.6 Test parameter	Growth and growth rate	
3.2.7 Monitoring of test	[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.3(1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.3**	**Growth inhibition test on algae**	
substance		
3.2.8 Analysis	[redacted]	
3.2.9 Statistics	[redacted]	X
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Nominal concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	
4.2.2 Actual concentration of test substance	[redacted]	X
4.2.3 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	
5.2.1 EC10	ErC_{10} = 9 µg/l EbC_{10} < 1.2 µg/l	X
5.2.2 EC50	ErC_{50} = 49 µg/l EbC_{50} = 14 µg/l	X
5.2.3 EC90	ErC_{90} = 270 µg/l EbC_{90} = 57 µg/l	X
5.3 Conclusion	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate* Based on concentration effect relationship observed, the no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC) was found to be less than or equal to 0.0012 mg/l.	X
5.3.1 Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.3(1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.3**	**Growth inhibition test on algae**
5.3.2 Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	[redacted]
Materials and Methods	[redacted]
Results and discussion	[redacted]
Conclusion	[redacted]
Reliability	[redacted]
Acceptability	*Acceptable*
Remarks	
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE

Section 7.4.1.3(1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.3**	**Growth inhibition test on algae**
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Table 7.4.1.3(1)-1. 72-Hour Effect Concentrations for Growth Rate and Area Under the Growth Curve (AUC).

Parameter	EC_{10} (ug a.i./l)	EC_{50} (ug a.i./l)	EC_{90} (ug a.i./l)
Growth Rate (E_rC)	9.0	49	270
AUC (E_bC)	<1.2	14	57

Table 7.4.1.3(1)-2. Mean Values of cell algal densities (10^4 cells/ml corrected for background)

Time (hours)	Measured concentrations of ADBAC (ug a.i./l)E_bC_{10} (ug/l) E_bC_{50}(ug/l) E_bC_{90}(ug/l)							
	0	0	1.2	5.1	11	22	98	382
0	1.0	1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
23	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.4	3.6	3.8	0.7	0.6
47	24.3	24.0	21.9	19.6	16.0	7.1	0.7	0.1
71	111.2	110.9	96.0	82.9	66.3	29.3	0.9	-3.0

-- Not determined

Table 7.4.1.3(1)-3. The area under the growth curve (A) and the percentage reduction in growth (I_A) after 72 hours of exposure to ADBAC

	Measured concentrations of ADBAC (ug a.i./l)							
Parameter	0	0	1.2	5.1	11	22	98	382
A	1974	1956	1726	1511	1203	552	-14	-43
I_A	0	0	12	23	39	72	101	102

Section 7.4.1.3 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.3	Growth inhibition test on algae (marine)	
	JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-SUBMISSION OF DATA *As outlined in the TNsG on data requirements, the applicant must always be able to justify the suggested exemptions from the data requirements. The justifications are to be included in the respective location (section) of the dossier.* *If one of the following reasons is marked, detailed justification has to be given below. General arguments are not acceptable*	Official use only
Other existing data [ ] **Limited exposure [X]**	**Technically not feasible [ ]** **Scientifically unjustified [ ]** **Other justification [ ]**	
Detailed justification:	[redacted]	
Undertaking of intended data submission [ ]	*Give date on which the data will be handed in later (Only acceptable if test or study is already being conducted and the responsible CA has agreed on the delayed data submission.)*	
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities	
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted	
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE	
Date	[redacted]	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	[redacted]	
Conclusion	*The applicant's justification is acceptable*	
Remarks		

Section 7.4.1.3 Annex Point IIIA.7.4.1.3	Growth inhibition test on algae (marine)
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE *(specify)*
Date	
Evaluation of applicant's justification	
Conclusion	
Remarks	

Section 7.4.1.4 (1) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.4	Inhibition on microbiological activity	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	*Author(s), year, title, laboratory name, laboratory report number, report date (if published, list journal name, volume: pages)* *If necessary, copy field and enter other reference(s).* Mayer, P, H., J. A. Schoonmade and A. O. Hanstveit (2001). Screening of the Effect of Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride on the Respiration Rate of Activated Sludge (OECD Guideline No. 209). TNO Nutrition and Food Research, Delft, The Netherlands. Report no. 99-9072-04 (unpublished). [Ref No: A49 (LON 3324)]	
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Issues Steering Committee	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes OECD Guideline No. 209 "Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test" 2001 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	X
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride	X
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.4 (1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.4**	**Inhibition on microbiological activity**	
3.1.2 Specification	As given in section II of Annex IIA of Directive 98/8/EC, especially 2.7 and 2.8 of Annex IIA. [redacted] *(describe specification under separate subheadings, such as the following; additional subheadings may be appropriate):*	X
3.1.3 Description	*If appropriate, give e.g. colour, physical form (e.g. powder, grain size, particle size/distribution)* [redacted]	
3.1.4 Purity	*Give purity in g/kg, g/l, %w/w or % v/v active substance* [redacted]	
3.1.5 Stability	*Describe stability of test material* Stable	
3.1.6 Method of analysis	[redacted]	
3.2 Testing procedure		
3.2.1 Dilution water	[redacted]	
3.2.1 Test organisms	Activated sludge, domestic	
3.2.2 Test system	[redacted]	X
3.2.3 Test conditions	[redacted]	X
3.2.4 Duration of the test	3 hours	
3.2.5 Test parameter	Inhibition on respiration rate	X
3.2.6 Monitoring of test substance concentration	[redacted]	
3.2.7 Statistics	[redacted]	X
	4. RESULTS	
4.1 Limit test	No	
4.2 Results test substance		
4.2.1 Initial concentration of test substance	[redacted]	

Section 7.4.1.4 (1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.4**	**Inhibition on microbiological activity**	
4.2.2 Actual concentrations of test substance	[redacted]	X
4.2.3 Effect data (Mortality)	See section 5. 2	
4.2.4 Other effects	None	
4.3 Results of controls		
4.3.1 Number percentage of animals/species showing adverse effects	[redacted]	
4.3.2 Nature of adverse effects	[redacted]	
	5. APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
5.1 Materials and methods	*Give concise description of method; give test guidelines no. and discuss relevant deviations from test guidelines. Comments from 2.1above are relevant in this table.* [redacted]	
5.2 Results and discussion	*Summarise relevant results; discuss dose-response relationship where relevant.*	
5.2.1 EC20	EC_{20} = 3.4 mg a.s./l	
5.2.2 EC50	EC_{50} = 7.75 mg a.s. /l	X
5.2.3 EC80	EC_{80} = 17.8 mg a.s./l	
5.3 Conclusion	*Subsections for NOAEL, LOAEL etc. if appropriate*	X
5.3.1 Reliability	*Based on the assessment of materials and methods include appropriate reliability indicator 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4* [redacted]	
5.3.2 Deficiencies	[redacted] *(If yes, discuss the impact of deficiencies and implications on results. If relevant, justify acceptability of study.)*	

Section 7.4.1.4 (1) **Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.4**	**Inhibition on microbiological activity**
	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	[redacted]
Materials and Methods	[redacted]
Results and discussion	[redacted]
Conclusion	[redacted]
Reliability	[redacted]
Acceptability	*acceptable*
Remarks	[redacted]
	COMMENTS FROM OTHER MEMBER STATE
Date	*Give date of the comments submitted*
Materials and Methods	*Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion.* *Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Results and discussion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Conclusion	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Reliability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*
Acceptability	*Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state*

Section 7.4.1.4 (2) Annex Point IIA 7.4.1.4	Inhibition on microbiological activity	
	1. REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1 Reference	Corby J.E. (1992) Determination of the Acute Toxicity of Chemicals and Wastewaters to Aquatic Microorganisms. Roy F. Weston, Inc., Lionville, PA, USA. Report No. 91-062 (unpublished). [Ref No: A62]	
1.2 Data protection	Yes *(indicate if data protection is claimed)*	
1.2.1 Data owner	*Give name of company* ADBAC Joint Venture	
1.2.2 Criteria for data protection	*Choose one of the following criteria (see also TNsG on Product Evaluation) and delete the others:* Data submitted to the MS before 14 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I/IA.	
	2. GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1 Guideline study	Yes Modification to a five day biochemical oxygen demand analysis 1992 *(If yes, give references to the guidelines (for example test number in Annex V of Dir. 67/548/EEC); if no, give justification, e.g. "no guidelines available" or "methods used comparable to guidelines xy")*	X
2.2 GLP (only where required)	Yes *(If no, give justification, e.g. state that GLP was not compulsory at the time the study was performed)*	
2.3 Deviations	No *(If yes, describe deviations from test guidelines or refer to respective field numbers where these are described, e.g. "see 3.x.y")*	
	3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	In some fields the values indicated in the EC or OECD test guidelines are given as default values. Adopt, change or delete these default values as appropriate.	
3.1 Test material	[redacted]	
3.1.1 Lot/Batch number	*List lot/batch number where relevant* [redacted]	