Justification for the selection of a candidate CoRAP substance

Substance Name (Public Name): 3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl-4,4'-diyl diisocyanate

Chemical Group:

EC Number: 202-112-7

CAS Number: 91-97-4

Submitted by: FRANCE

Published: 20/03/2013

NOTE

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Contents

Confidential Justification for the selection of a candidate CoRAP substance

1	IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE				
	1.1 Na	ame and other identifiers of the substance	3		
2		SIFICATION AND LABELLING			
_					
		armonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP			
	Not list	ed in Annex VI	4		
	2.2 Pr	roposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	4		
	2.3 Se	elf classification	4		
3	JUSTIF	FICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE	5		
	3.1 Le	egal basis for the proposal	5		
	3.2 G1	rounds for concern	5		
	3.3 In	formation on aggregated tonnage and uses	5		
	3.4 Ot	ther completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation	6		
	3.5 In:	formation to be requested to clarify the suspected risk	6		
	3.6 Po	otential follow-up and link to risk management	6		

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity

Public Name:	3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl-4,4'-diyl diisocyanate			
EC number:	202-112-7			
EC name:	3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl-4,4'-diyl diisocyanate			
CAS number (in the EC inventory):	91-97-4			
CAS number:	91-97-4			
CAS name:	o-Tolidine diisocyanate			
IUPAC name:	1-isocyanato-4-(4-isocyanato-3-methyl-phenyl)-2-			
	methyl-benzene			
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	Not listed			
Molecular formula:	C 16 H 12 N 2 O 2			
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	264.28 g/mol			
Synonyms:	TODI			

Type of substance: ✓ Mono-constituent ✓ Multi-constituent ✓ UVCB

Structural formula:

2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Not listed in Annex VI

2.2 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None

2.3 Self classification

The registration data includes the following self classification:

According to CLP criteria:

- Acute Tox. 4, H332: Harmful if inhaled
- Resp. Sens. 1, H334: May cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled
- Skin Sens. 1, H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction
- Aquatic Chronic 1, H410: Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects

According to DSD criteria:

- Xn; R20 Harmful; Harmful by inhalation
- R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
- N; R50/53 Dangerous for the environment; Very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment

In addition are the following classification(s) included in the Classification and Labelling Inventory:

- Acute Tox. 4, H302: Harmful if swallowed
- Acute Tox. 4, H312: Harmful in contact with skin
- Skin Irrit. 2, H315: Causes skin irritation
- Eye Irrit. 2, H319: Causes serious eye irritation
- STOT SE 3, H335 : May cause respiratory irritation
- Muta. 2 H341 : Suspected of causing genetic defects

EC no. 202-112-7 MSCA – France Page 4 of 6

3 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

3.1 Legal basis for the proposal											
Article 44(1) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)											
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State priority)											
3.2 Grounds for	3.2 Grounds for concern										
3.2 Grounds for	Concern										
☐ (Suspected) CMR	□w	de dispersive use		☐ Cumulative exposure							
	ser 🛮 🖾 Co	nsumer use	☐ High RCR		:R						
⊠ (Suspected) PBT	☐ Ex	posure of sensitive p	of sensitive population		ated tonnage						
☐ Suspected Endocrine	e disruptor 🔲 Ot	Other (provide further detail below)									
According to the registration data, no consumer exposure occurs. This is questionable and should be further assessed as the substance is used to manufacture paints, do-it-yourself products, household products (cleaning products), etc The substance is already considered as Skin and Respiratory sensitizer by registration data because of structural similarities with already know sensitizers (i.e. MDI) and according to the classifications included in the Classification and Labelling Inventory. No Risk assessment is proposed for sensitisation endpoints. Mutagenicity should be further assessed as there are many positive studies in vitro and inappropriate in vivo study. Substance evaluation is recommended in order to get more information on uses/exposure and mutagenicity. Also some risk management processes could be already launched: CLH on respiratory sensitizing properties. France is evaluating TODI in the framework of iPBT WG in order to clarify positive QSAR results about PBT/vPvB and to conclude if a substance evaluation is appropriate to clarify this concern.											
3.3 Information on aggregated tonnage and uses											
□ 1 - 10 t	10 - 100 t	□ 100 - 1000 t	☐ 1000 - 1	000 - 10,000 t							
□ 10,000 - 100,000 t	100,000 - 1000,000 t	t		nfidential							
✓ Industrial Use ✓ Profes		ssional Use		e Closed System							
	1	- 1		1							

EC no. 202-112-7 MSCA – France Page 5 of 6

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for 3.4 substance evaluation ☐ Compliance Check ☐ Annex VI (CLP) ☐ Testing Proposal(s) ☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation) ☐ Substance Identification Issues ☐ Annex XVII (Restriction) ☐ ESR Programme ☐ Other (provide further details below) 3.5 Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk ▼ Information on toxicological properties ▼ Information on exposure **▼** Information on uses ☐ Information on fate and behaviour ☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties ☐ Other (provide further details below) ☐ Information on physico-chemical properties Potential follow-up and link to risk management 3.6 ☐ Restriction ☐ Harmonised C&L ☐ Authorisation ☐ Other (provide further details below)

EC no. 202-112-7 MSCA – France Page 6 of 6