

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment *Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport* 

#### Reproductive Toxicology and the road to Animal-free Human Hazard and Risk Assessment

Aldert H. Piersma

Center for Health Protection RIVM Bilthoven-NL

ECHA NAM Workshop, Helsinki, 31 May 2023



#### Test animal need for different endpoints (% of total test animals needed)





### Current issues with reproductive toxicity testing

- The triggers for generating a second-generation offspring in OECD443
- The requirement for an OECD443 after observing thyroid changes in other studies
- The need to study endocrine disruption after observing liver enzyme induction effects
- The requirement for extreme high dosing for less potent compounds
- The need to accept extreme general toxicity in parental animals in order to find a LOAEL for fertility or developmental effects

Underlying issues:

- Executing risk management based on hazard identification only, without consideration of realistic exposure scenarios and compound potency
- The tendency to consider changes in physiological parameters as an indication of adversity
- Distinguishing adaptive homeostatic changes from adverse health effects
- The ethical limits of animal welfare and suffering



#### Classic List of Alternatives in Developmental Toxicology

type of test	test	end points
continuous cell lines	HEPM MOT V79 N115 EC/EST	proliferation adhesion metabolic cooperation differentiation differentiation
primary cell cultures	brain limb bud	differentiation differentiation
organ cultures	limb bud	development
embryo cultures	Hydra frog rodent	regeneration development development





### Embryonic stem cell test (cardiac)





Embryonic stem cell culture



Hanging drop culture



Embryoid Bodies (day 3)



Embryoid Body (day 5)



Embryoid Body (day 10)

Van Dartel et al., 2009





**Limited biological domain** of any in vitro test precludes that it will correctly 'predict' all toxicants.



Cf. The Virtual Physiological Human: vph-institute.org



#### Animal-free hazard assessment



## Test system requirements



- Biological domain
  - Describes the biology of the system in terms of MoA, AOP, key event(s) covered, and end point measured
- Technical performance
  - Standardization, variability, transferability
- Chemical domain
  - Solubility, volatility, ...
- Sensitivity / specificity
  - Validate each individual test with known positives and known negatives against its biological domain only, "mechanistic validation" \*
  - Validate test battery as a whole against in vivo toxicity, based on sufficient mechanistic coverage of biology/toxicology





CellDesigner map for neural tube closure



Cf. US EPA Virtual Embryo Project



# Synthetic dose-response BMP inhibition/activation





CompuCell3D animation Berkhout et al., in progress





### Animal-free human hazard assessment





### Take home messages

- Current regulatory hazard-based risk management based on animal study protocols meets with serious issues regarding interpretation and animal welfare.
- Computational approaches based on the toxicological ontology offer innovative opportunities.
- Clinical diagnostics and treatment now successfully uses computational models using personalized patient parameter input.
- The US EPA Virtual Embryo project shows proofs of principle of computational prediction of dose-related compound-induced adverse health effects.
- The Virtual Physiological Human project provides the physiological basis for computational modelling.
- Test batteries comprehensively covering the AOP-network provide data input for computational models.
- Current international projects (ONTOX, VHP4Safety) exploit and build on this principle.



### Selected references

- The Virtual Physiological Human: https://www.vph-institute.org/
- Computational diagnostics: Van Schalkwijk et al., 2010 Briefings in Bioinform. 11(4):403-416.
- US EPA Virtual Embryo: https://www.epa.gov/, search term `virtual embryo'
- ONTOX: https://ontox-project.eu/
- VHP4safety: https://www.sciencrew.com/c/6586?title=VHP4Safety
- Piersma et al., Toxicol In Vitro. 2018 Feb;46:163-165.
- Piersma et al., Current Opinion in Toxicology, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2019.03.009.
- Heusinkveld et al., Reprod Toxicol. 2021 Jan;99:160-167.
- Piersma et al., Curr Res Toxicol. 2022 May 13;3:100074.
- Piersma et al., Current Opinion in Toxicology 2023, 33:100386.
- Bridges et al., Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 139 (2023) 105356.

