

Minutes of the 66th Meeting of the Member State Committee (MSC-66)

22-23 October 2019

I. Summary Record of the Proceedings

Item 1 - Welcome and Apologies

The Chairman of the Committee, Mr Watze de Wolf, opened the meeting and welcomed the participants to the 66th meeting of the Member State Committee (MSC) (for the full list of attendees and further details see Section II of the minutes).

Item 2 - Adoption of the Agenda

The Agenda was adopted as modified at the meeting based on the draft agenda as provided for the meeting by the MSC Secretariat (final Agenda is attached to these minutes as Section III).

Item 3 - Declarations of conflicts of interest to the items on the Agenda

No potential conflicts of interests were declared by any members, experts or advisers with any item on the agenda of MSC-66. Following queries from several Member States, the Chairman informed MSC that the Memorandum of Understanding for ensuring the independence of Member State services to be provided to the European Chemicals Agency will not change ECHA's practice at MSC. An MSC member whom has contributed at the Member State level to a topic will not be asked to abstain from voting.

Item 4 - Administrative issues

1. *Possible options to the identification and appointment of rapporteurs*

ECHA Secretariat (SECR) briefly introduced the document which proposed alternative options for identification of rapporteurs within MSC for its opinion-forming tasks. Although MSC considers volunteering as the preferred approach it may not always lead to a timely identification of a rapporteur, and hence MSC was invited to consider these other options. Also some other aspects were presented by SECR for the further development of these ideas.

MSC provided its views and input to the discussion. The Chairman thanked MSC for the feedback, however, it was not possible to conclude yet on any clearly preferred option. SECR undertook to prepare for next plenary meeting a further discussion using the preferences expressed. The Chairman explained that depending on which would be MSC's selected option, the ECHA Management Board (MB) might need to agree to a respective change in the MSC rules of procedure. In any case, MB will receive a report on the outcome of this discussion.

2. *Outlook for MSC-67*

The Chairman presented an outlook on the potential length of the next meeting which is expected to require at most 3.5 plenary days. The Chairman also updated the MSC on the status of the construction works of the new ECHA building, where MSC-68 will take place.

3. *REACH Evaluation Action Plan – Impact for MSC*

The Chairman gave a presentation on anticipated impacts of the REACH evaluation joint action plan which has been agreed between ECHA and the Commission. MSC and ECHA Secretariat (SECR) can together address the challenges of the increasing number of dossier evaluation cases by, *inter alia*, using new information technology tools and teleconferences to support agreement seeking, employing simplified draft decisions, mandating SECR to finalise draft decisions after MSC discussion and agreement, piloting additional consultations with the Member State competent authorities (MSCA) 'in between' meetings while reducing the number of MSC plenary meetings, making use of potential grouping of substances, and resolving diverging views more efficiently. The latter could include addressing potential issues at an early stage with MSCAs and MSC to minimise the need for proposals for amendment and cases for the meetings, enhancing the role of expert groups, and addressing general issues at an early phase. MSC welcomed the

suggestions and was invited to provide additional comments in writing. MSC agreed to start preparing for selected actions. SECR will submit, for discussion and agreement at the MSC-67 meeting, a document on general considerations regards mandating ECHA, and the evaluation MSCA in case of substance evaluations, to finalise the decisions after a meeting, and submit a timings plan for four MSC face-to-face meetings in 2021

Item 5 – Minutes of the MSC-65 meeting

SECR gave a brief report on the adoption of the minutes of MSC-65 in written procedure (WP). The final MSC-65 minutes have been published in MSC S-CIRCABC and on ECHA's website.

Item 6 – Substance evaluation

1. Written procedure report on seeking agreement on a draft decision on substance evaluation

Not applicable to this meeting round.

2. Introduction to and preliminary discussion on a draft decision on substance evaluation after MS-CA's/ECHA reactions (*Session 1, open session*):

Not applicable to this meeting round.

3. Seeking agreement on a draft decision when amendments were proposed by MS-CA's/ECHA (*Session 2, closed*)

Not applicable to this meeting round.

4. General topics

- Simplification of substance evaluation draft decisions

The recent REACH review (2017) indicated the need for increasing the efficiency of the evaluation process. The main focus of the joint action plan is dossier evaluation however it also includes substance evaluation (SEv). For the latter ECHA has undertaken a project on how to make the SEv process more efficient. The suggestions for streamlining the process from this project were presented to MSC.

During the discussion MSC members commented on some of these suggestions and requested further clarifications.

Regarding the selection of CoRAP substances, SECR explained that ECHA is moving towards screening of substances based on the grouping approach. From this screening, substances might be flagged to Member States (MSs) to be taken up for further evaluation where it is seen that SEv is a better tool than DEV. A Commission observer encouraged MSs to take up such substances, as the focus should move to substances of lower tonnage with less information but with some concern. SECR added that SEv is the tool to be used when the information to be requested is not part of the standard information requirements under DEV.

The possibility to give the eMSCA and ECHA a mandate to finalise decisions was discussed. It was proposed that on the basis of the adoption of the requests and main justifications during the meeting, the wording justifying the request in the decision could then be finalised within an agreed timeline after the meeting. Several members were open to the suggestion on a case by case basis, with a clear, specific mandate. The Chairman concluded to have a more detailed discussion on how a mandate could look like in a future MSC meeting (see also agenda point 4).

An industry observer made a plea for a prompt follow up on the registrants' comments towards the adoption of the decision. The industry observer also asked for more balance in the timing of testing in the SEv decision, and the timing an MSCA has to follow-up on the decision making after receipt of the testing results.

Item 7 – Dossier evaluation

1. Written procedure report on seeking agreement on draft decisions on dossier evaluation

2. Introduction to and preliminary discussion on draft decisions on compliance checks and testing proposal examinations after MSCA reactions (*Session 1, open session*)

Not applicable to this meeting round.

3. Seeking agreement on draft decisions on compliance checks and testing proposal examinations when amendments were proposed by MSCAs (*Session 2, closed*)

Not applicable to this meeting round.

4. General topics

- Simplification of CCH draft decisions

SECR presented the new templates for the simplification of the dossier evaluation draft decisions. The structure and content is designed to improve clarity as well as to address all members of the joint submission which are not compliant with their requirements. The new approach in drafting is to ensure efficient processing of the decisions so as to cope with the expected increasing number of dossier evaluation draft decisions. SECR reminded that the justifications for further information will rely on the major incompliances and will not be systematically exhaustive. Therefore, any proposals for amendment (PfA) should preferably address critical issues on test requests and should be submitted as early as possible.

- Brief report from the Dutch workshop on Extended one-generation testing (held in ECHA on 8-9 October 2019) (*partly closed session*)

The MSC member from the Netherlands reported that the aim of the workshop was to explore the state of scientific knowledge on the association between sex steroid hormones (SSH) system and developmental neurotoxicity (DNT) and immunotoxicity (DIT). MSC supported the scientific conclusions from the workshop. MSC agreed to start considering the regulatory aspects of SSH and DNT/DIT cohort triggering. MSC invited the Dutch MSC member to prepare a paper for a future MSC discussion on possible follow-up steps and encouraged others to support the drafting.

Item 8 – SVHC identification – Seeking agreement on Annex XV proposals for identification of SVHC

- Member suggestion: Co-exposure to similar substances – applicability as a concern element in SVHC identification

MSC took note of the views and questions raised by the member. MSC members provided the member with their first reflections and comments. Overall these comments showed support that, on a case by case basis, co-exposure to similar substances may be included as a concern element in SVHC identification supportive to other elements of concern. MSC members were invited to submit written comments by 8th November, if any.

Item 9 – ECHA's recommendations of priority substances to be included in Annex XIV and opinion of MSC

- Update from SECR on submitted recommendations
- Feedback discussion on learnings following the review of the process (e.g. modified opinion template, WG organisation, Post-PC assessment documents)

SECR provided a brief update as regards the two recently submitted recommendations for inclusion of substances in Annex XIV: Following an opinion of MSC in June, the recommendation for the amendment of the Annex XIV entries of four phthalates was submitted by ECHA to COM in early July. The 9th recommendation, following the opinion of MSC on it in June, was sent to COM by ECHA on 1 October 2019.

The second theme of discussion was on the learnings gained from the review project on the MSC opinion forming process and the changes introduced as try-out for the 9th recommendation round. MSC Rapporteur provided an overview of the feedback received from MSC as well as additional reflections from her. MSC supported the revised way of working and noted that the new documentation providing ECHA's views to MSC on the impact of the comments received from the public consultation provided further clarity. MSC agreed to apply also in future the more focussed presentation of its views in the MSC opinion. For the next round SECR proposed some modifications for MSC's consideration and presented the initial plans for the 10th recommendation round, already applying some of the feedback received, e.g. in the timings and procedure.

Item 10 – Opinion of MSC on ECHA's draft update of the Community Rolling Action Plan (CoRAP 2020-2022)

1. Introduction of the annual draft update of the CoRAP (2020-2022) by ECHA

SECR presented the draft CoRAP update for 2020-2022. The draft CoRAP update for years 2020-2022 has a total of 74 substances, 7 new CoRAP candidates and 67 substances already included in the CoRAP. Two substances have been withdrawn from the previous list, and justification for those were also made available to MSC. It was explained that as per previous years, each substance has an accompanying justification document. For 52 entries on the list the year of evaluation had been postponed mainly due to ongoing dossier evaluation. The draft CoRAP including the initial grounds for concern and contact details of the evaluating Member State Competent Authorities (eMSCA) were published on 23 October 2019, and now MSC was invited to provide its opinion on it by February 2020.

2. Update as regards drafting the opinion of the MSC on the CoRAP update

The Rapporteur provided a brief update to MSC. She clarified that since the number of new substances on the draft annual update was quite limited, she had agreed with the Co-rapporteur that there was no need to establish a Working Group, but that they will themselves be reviewing the list and drafting the opinion. She also informed MSC that they have started reviewing the draft CoRAP update with the aim to submit a first draft opinion to MSC for discussion at the MSC-67 meeting in December.

Item 11 – Annual review of stakeholder observers' participation at MSC

• Discussion and update of the MSC decision about the invited organisations

SECR presented the outcome of the MSC accredited stakeholder organisations (ASO) participation review, the expressions of interest in MSC work of new ASOs and the expressed preferences of the new ASOs for their observer status (to become occasional MSC observers) and proposed way forward, as outlined in a respective document.

MSC considered the ASO participation in the past year in line with the MSC General approach¹ for admission of observers from ASOs and commitments made by MSC permanent observers in different quotas.

Within 'NGOs and Trade union' quota², the Committee decided to change the regular stakeholder observer status of two Environmental and Health NGOs (Health Care without Harm Europe and Women in Europe for Common Future) to occasional observers; to admit the new 'Animal welfare NGO' (Cruelty Free Europe) as MSC regular observer; to invite five "Animal Welfare NGOs" (ECEAE, Eurogroup for Animals, HIS, PISC and Cruelty Free Europe) within their group to share two seats when participating in MSC plenary meetings; to re-confirm, the MSC regular observer status of: ETUC; five ENV & HH NGOs (ChemSec, Client Earth, EEB, Greenpeace, and HEAL) to share four seats³ when participating in MSC plenary meetings within their rotation group.

¹ http://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13578/general_approach_aso_in_msc_work_en.pdf

² With seven seats allocated as follows: one seat for trade unions, four seats for ENV&HH NGOs, two seats for Animal Welfare NGOs

³ i.e. four representatives from this rotation group to be physically present per meeting

Furthermore, MSC decided to re-confirm within the 'Industry' quota⁴ the regular observer status of Cefic, CONCAWE, Eurometaux, ORO, CEPE and FECC (the latter two to share one seat⁵ when participating in MSC plenary meetings) and of SMEUnited (previously known as UEAPME) with possible occasional participation in MSC meetings, acknowledging the collaboration agreement of this ASO with the MSC observer from Cefic.

MSC decided also to re-confirm the occasional observer status of the remaining ASOs with maintained interest in MSC work (mainly sectorial ones). These are invited to follow the MSC work as occasional observers and participate in MSC plenary meetings on an occasional basis, in accordance with MSC General Approach on the ASO admission to the MSC work at the discretion of the MSC Chairman's decision.

The Committee also agreed on admission of three new ASOs, Fuels Europe, Plastics Recyclers Europe and PlasticsEurope – Association of Plastics Manufacturers as MSC occasional observers.

The MSC Chairman thanked MSC for the decisions taken and pointed out that MSC-S would inform the relevant ASOs of the MSC decisions and would update the list of the MSC ASO observers on ECHA's website after the meeting.

Item 12 – Any other business

- Update on appeals and court cases of relevance to MSC (*partly closed session*)

SECR gave an overview of the status of recent appeals on evaluation submitted to the Board of Appeal of ECHA (BoA) and cases submitted to the European Court of Justice relating to the authorisation process and to substance evaluation. MSC took note of the information received, and in particular on the General Court's considerations on the BoA's competence in reviewing appeals against ECHA substance evaluation decisions. A brief update on new appeals was provided in a closed session to the members only.

- Update on REACH Committee decision on EOGRT studies

The Commission representatives updated MSC on the recently adopted REACH Committee decision C(2019)7336 Final on the design of a EOGRT study, requested in a compliance check for dimethylether, following MSC referral of CCH-003/2017.

- Suggestions from members

No further suggestions were received by members for this agenda item.

Item 13– Adoption of conclusions and action points

Table with conclusions and action points from MSC-66.

⁴ With seven seats allocated to ASOs representing general industry interests

⁵ i.e. one representative from this rotation group to be physically present per meeting

II. List of attendees

<u>Members/Alternate members</u>	<u>ECHA staff</u>
AAVIK, Jaanika (EE)	AHRENS, Birgit
ALMEIDA, Inês (PT)	AJAO, Charmaine
ANDRIJEWSKI, Michal (PL)	BICHLMAIER, Ingo
ATTIAS, Leonello (IT)	BROERE, William
CONWAY, Louise (IE)	CONSOLI, Elisa
DE KNECHT, Joop (NL)	DE WOLF, Watze
DIMITROVA, Rada (BG)	HALLING, Katrin
DUNAUŠKIENE, Lina (LT)	HERBATSCHEK, Nicolas
FERNANDEZ SANCHEZ, Raquel (ES)	HOFFSTADT, Laurence
FINDENEGG, Helene (DE)	JOHANSSON, Matti
GYMNAOU, Panayiotis (CY)	MUSSET, Christel
HERMES, Joe (LU)	NAUR, Liina
HJORTH, Rune (DK)	RÖNTY, Kaisu
HORSKA, Alexandra (SK)	SIMON, Rupert
HUMAR-JURIC, Tatjana (SI)	VAHTERISTO, Liisa
JANTONE, Anta (LV)	WALKER, Lee
KOUTSODIMOU, Aglaia (EL)	
KREKOVIĆ, Dubravka (HR)	
KULHANKOVA, Pavlína (CZ)	
LUNDBERGH, Ivar (SE)	
MIHALCEA UDREA, Mariana (RO)	
REIERSON, Linda (NO)	
RISSANEN, Eeva (FI)	
STESSEL, Helmut (AT)	
VANDERSTEEN, Kelly (BE)	
<u>Representatives of the Commission:</u>	
KOBE, Andrej (DG ENV)	
KRASSNIG, Christian (DG GROW)	
<u>Observers</u>	
DELRUE, Nathalie (OECD)	
DROHMANN, Dieter (ORO)	
FERNANDES DE BARROS, Mariana (Cefic)	
KERÄNEN, Hannu (CONCAWE)	
LOONEN, Helene (EEB)	
STODDART, Gilly (PETA)	
WAETERSCHOOT, Hugo (Eurometaux)	

Proxies

- STESSEL, Helmut (AT) also acting as proxy of DUNAUŠKIENE, Lina (LT) during short periods

Experts and advisers to MSC members

SPURIENE, Otilija (LT) (expert to DUNAUŠKIENE, Lina)
 BOISEN, Anne (DK) (adviser to HJORTH, Rune)
 BOYSEN, Lykke (DK) (expert to HJORTH, Rune)
 CATONE, Tiziana (IT) (expert to ATTIAS, Leonello)
 CIESLA, Jacek (PL) (expert to ANDRIJEWSKI, Michal)
 COPOIU, Oana (RO) (expert to MIHALCEA UDREA, Mariana)
 EINOLA, Juha (FI) (adviser to RISSANEN, Eeva)
 FILIPOVA, Hristina (BG) (expert to DIMITROVA, Rada)
 HASSOLD, Enken (DE) (expert to FINDENEGG; Helene)
 KOZMIKOVA, Jana (CZ) (expert to KULHANKOVA, Pavlína)
 KUROVA, Martina (SK) (expert to HORSKA, Alexandra)
 OLSEN, Ann-Karin Hardie (NO) (expert to REIERSON, Linda)

MALKIEWICZ, Katarzyna (SE) (expert to LUNDBERGH, Ivar)
TARNOCZAI, Timea (HU) (expert to DEIM, Szilvia)

Registered to the Secure WEBEX-phone connection:

ALI MOHAMMED, Iftekhar (SE)
BAUMBUSCH, Angelica (NO)
BJØRGE, Christine (NO)
GARCIA, Patricia (ES)
GAUSTAD, Astrid (NO)
HELLMER, Lena (SE)
HUSA, Stine (NO)
LANDVIK, Nina (NO)
LE, Elisa (FR)
LINDEMAN, Birgitte (NO)
MARTIN, Esther (ES)
MÜHLEGGGER, Simone (AT)
MYHRE, Oddvar (NO)
NYGAARD, Unni Cecilie (NO)
PARRAGA, Helena (ES)
PRINTEMPS, Nathalie (FR)
UNKELBACH, Christian (DE)
WOUTERSIN, Marjolijn (NL)

Apologies:

PALEOMILITOU, Maria (CY)
MARTIN, Esther (ES)
FRANZ, Michel (FR)
DEIM, Szilvia (HU)
WAGENER, Alex (LU)
ELLUL, Nathanael (MT)
COCKSHOTT, Amanda (UK)

III. Final Agenda



MSC/A/066/2019

Draft Agenda 66th meeting of the Member State Committee

22-23 October 2019
ECHA Conference Centre
Annankatu 18, in Helsinki, Finland

22 October: starts at 1 pm
23 October: ends at 3:30 pm

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

MSC/A/066/2019
For adoption

Item 3 – Declaration of specific interests to items on the Agenda

Item 4 – Administrative issues

1. Possible options to the identification and appointment of rapporteurs

ECHA/MSC-66/2019/001

For discussion

2. Outlook for MSC-67

For information

3. REACH Evaluation Action Plan – Impact for MSC

- Outlook for 2020/2021

For discussion

Item 5 – Minutes of the MSC-65

- Final minutes of MSC-65

MSC/M/65/2019
For information

Item 6 – Substance evaluation

1. Written procedure report on seeking agreement on draft decisions on substance evaluation

Not applicable to this meeting round

[For information]

2. Introduction to and preliminary discussion on draft decisions on substance evaluation after MS-CA's/ECHA reactions (*Session 1, open*):

Not applicable to this meeting round

3. Seeking agreement on draft decisions when amendments were proposed by MS-CA's/ECHA (*Session 2, closed*)

Not applicable to this meeting round

[For agreement]

4. General topics

- Simplification of substance evaluation draft decisions

For information

Item 7 – Dossier evaluation

1. Written procedure report on seeking agreement on draft decisions on dossier evaluation⁶

ECHA/MSC-66/2019/002

For information

2. Introduction to and preliminary discussion on draft decisions on compliance checks and testing proposals when amendments were proposed by MS-CA's (*Session 1, open session*)

No cases

[For discussion]

3. Seeking agreement on draft decisions on compliance checks and testing proposal examinations when amendments were proposed by MS-CA's (*Session 2, closed*)

No cases

[For agreement]

4. General topics

- 1) Simplification of CCH draft decisions
- 2) Brief report from the NL EOGRTS Workshop (8-9 October 2019) (*Partly closed session*)

For information

Item 8 – SVHC identification *Closed session*

- Member suggestion: Co-exposure to similar substances – applicability as a concern element in SVHC identification

ECHA/MSC-66/2019/005

For discussion

Item 9 – ECHA's recommendations of priority substances to be included in Annex XIV and opinion of MSC

⁶ For the list of cases agreed in MSC written procedure, please see the Appendix at the end of this draft agenda

- Update from SECR on submitted recommendations
- Feedback discussion on learnings following the review of the process (e.g. modified opinion template, WG organisation, Post-PC assessment documents)

For information

Item 10 – Opinion of MSC on ECHA’s draft update of the Community Rolling Action Plan (CoRAP 2020-2022)

3. Introduction of the annual draft update of the CoRAP (2020-2022) by ECHA

ECHA/MSC-66/2019/003

For information and discussion

4. Update as regards drafting the opinion of the MSC on the CoRAP update

For information

Item 11 – Annual review of stakeholder observers’ participation at MSC

Closed session

- Discussion and update of the MSC decision about the invited organisations

ECHA/MSC-66/2019/004

For discussion and decision

Item 12 – Any other business

- Update on appeals and court cases of relevance to MSC
- Update on REACH Committee decision on EOGRTs studies
- Suggestions from members

(Partly closed session)

For information

For information

Item 13 – Adoption of main conclusions and action points

- Table with conclusions and action points from MSC-66

For adoption

INFORMATION DOCUMENTS

Information documents are not allocated a specific agenda time but the documents are available on MSC CIRCABC before the meeting. Based on the listed documents and the meeting agenda, if any MSC member considers that information documents may merit a discussion under any agenda point, they should inform MSC Secretariat.

- Status report on on-going substance evaluation work (presentation slides)
- Status report on on-going dossier evaluation work (presentation slides)
- Update of EU Test Methods Regulation on 26 September 2019
- Summary of the feedback from the satisfaction survey with regular stakeholder observers (MSC ASO's) (presentation slides)

Outside plenary activity on 23 October (4:00 pm to 8:00 pm)

External expert on the topic: Mutagenicity

Subtopic: 1. Comparison of TGR and in vivo comet assay results

Further subtopics: 2. Tissues to be sampled in comet assays, 3. Target tissue exposure and route of administration, 4 & 5. Status updates on validation of MN test and Pig-a assay

APPENDIX to the MSC-66 agenda:

List of evaluation cases agreed by MSC in written procedure in advance of the MSC-66 meeting:

Dossier evaluation

Compliance checks

MSC code	Substance name	EC/List No.
CCH-053/2019	Perylene-3,4:9,10-tetracarboxydiimide	201-344-6
CCH-066/2019	2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)ethyl acetate	203-940-1
CCH-068/2019	Fatty acids, C18 (unsaturated), reaction products with diethylenetriamine	629-715-1
CCH-069/2019	Methylamine	200-820-0
CCH-080/2019	N,N'-hexane-1,6-diylbis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4- hydroxyphenyl)propionamide]	245-442-7
CCH-081/2019	2',3-bis[[3-[3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl]- propionyl]]propionohydrazide	251-156-3
CCH-082/2019	Thiodiethylene bis[3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4- hydroxyphenyl)propionate]	255-392-8
CCH-084/2019	Reaction products of fatty acids, c14-c18 (branched and linear) and c18 (unsaturated) with tetraethylenepentamine (linear, branched, cyclic)	701-204-9

Testing proposal examinations

MSC code	Substance name	EC/List No.
TPE-060/2019	Zinc bis(diethyldithiocarbamate)	238-270-9
TPE-061/2019	Hexachloroplatinic acid	241-010-7
TPE-062/2019	Dipotassium hexachloroplatinate	240-979-3

IV. Main Conclusions and Action Points



Main conclusions and action points
MSC-66, 22-23 October 2019
(adopted at MSC-66)

CONCLUSIONS / DECISIONS / MINORITY OPINIONS	ACTIONS REQUESTED
Item 4- Administrative issues	
1. Possible options to the identification and appointment of rapporteurs	
MSC took note of the options presented.	MSC-S to prepare for discussion for MSC-67 based on the preferred options expressed by MSC members at this meeting.
2. REACH Evaluation Action Plan – Impact for MSC	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outlook for 2020/2021 	
MSC took note of the anticipated impacts for MSC regards the suggested actions from the Evaluation Action Plan.	<p>MSC-S to prepare, for discussion and agreement at the MSC-67 meeting, an assessment of the scope of, and approach to, case-specific mandates for finalising by ECHA dossier evaluation decision as agreed by MSC, and by ECHA and the evaluating MSCA in case of substance evaluation decisions,</p> <p>MSC-S to prepare a timings plan for 4 face-to-face MSC meetings in 2021 by MSC-67.</p> <p>MSC to submit comments regarding possible approaches for decision making on cases ‘in between meetings’ by 8 November 2019 to the MSC-S functional mailbox.</p> <p>MSC-S to assess with COM the possibility to provide room facilities for regular Stakeholder participation in MSC Webexes for decision making when the need arises for MSC ‘in between meetings’ referrals.</p>
Item 7 – Dossier evaluation	
1. Written procedure report on seeking agreement on draft decisions on dossier evaluation	
MSC took note of the report.	MSC to consider the decisions uploaded on MSC S-CIRCABC for the written procedure as agreed ones.
Item 7.4– Dossier evaluation - General topics	
2. Brief report from the NL EOGRTS Workshop (8-9 October 2019)	
MSC took note of, and supported, the conclusions	MSC to start considering the regulatory

CONCLUSIONS / DECISIONS / MINORITY OPINIONS	ACTIONS REQUESTED
<p>from the workshop on the scientific aspects related to steroid sex hormones (SSH) and their association with developmental neuro/immuno toxicity.</p>	<p>aspects of SSH and DNT/DIT cohort triggering.</p> <p>MSC-S to upload the presentation by 24 October 2019 to S-CIRCABC.</p> <p>NL member to proceed and prepare a paper for a future MSC discussion on possible follow-up steps.</p> <p>MSC members, ECHA and COM to inform the NL member and MSC-S on their willingness to support drafting of the paper, latest by 8 November 2019.</p>
<p>Item 8 – SVHC identification</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Member suggestion: Co-exposure to similar substances – applicability as a concern element in SVHC identification 	
<p>MSC took note of the views and questions raised by the member and provided its reflections and comments.</p>	<p>MSC to send their written comments on the questions raised in the paper on co-exposure, to the FI-MS member by 8 November 2019.</p>
<p>Item 9 – ECHA’s recommendations of priority substances to be included in Annex XIV and opinion of MSC</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update from SECR on submitted recommendations Feedback discussion on learnings following the review of the process (e.g. modified opinion template, WG organisation, Post-PC assessment documents) 	
<p>MSC indicated support to the revised way of working and respective documentation.</p> <p>MSC noted that for the next recommendation round Nov 2020 – Jan 2021 is the expected period for Rapporteur and WG to prepare their draft opinion.</p>	<p>SECR to proceed in preparing for the 10th recommendation round according to the plans presented.</p>
<p>Item 10 – Opinion of MSC on ECHA’s draft update of the Community Rolling Action Plan (CoRAP 2020-2022)</p>	
<p>1. Introduction of the annual draft update of the CoRAP (2020-2022) by ECHA</p>	
<p>MSC took note of the draft annual CoRAP update.</p>	<p>SECR to provide MSC (with a corrected) draft CoRAP for its consideration.</p>
<p>2. Update as regards drafting the opinion of the MSC on the CoRAP update</p>	
<p>MSC took note of the plans from the Rapporteur and Co-Rapporteur.</p>	<p>Rapporteur to provide the first draft opinion by 26 November 2019 for MSC’s consideration.</p> <p>MSC members to provide any feedback to the (Co-)Rapporteur by 8 November 2019 for the first draft opinion.</p>
<p>Item 11 - Annual review of stakeholder observers’ participation at MSC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion and update of the MSC decision about the invited organisations 	
<p>MSC took note of the update of the ASO observers’ participation in the MSC work and took the following decisions:</p>	<p>MSC to review ASO participation in its work in one year’s time.</p> <p>MSC-S to inform the relevant ASOs of the MSC</p>

CONCLUSIONS / DECISIONS / MINORITY OPINIONS	ACTIONS REQUESTED
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With regard to the admission of ASOs as MSC permanent observers in different quotas, MSC decided to: • To change the regular stakeholder observer status of two Environmental and Health NGOs (Health Care without harm Europe and Women in Europe for Common Future) to occasional observers • To agree on the admission of the new 'Animal welfare NGO' (Cruelty Free Europe) as MSC regular observer. • To invite five "Animal Welfare NGOs" (ECEAE, Eurogroup for Animals, HIS, PISC and Cruelty Free Europe) within their group to share two seats when participating in MSC plenary meetings, • Reconfirm the MSC regular observer status of: • five Environmental and Health Care NGOs (ChemSec, Client Earth, EEB, Greenpeace and HEAL) within their rotation group to share four seats when participating in MSC plenary meetings, • ETUC, Cefic, Concaawe, Eurometaux and ORO, • CEPE and FECC within a rotation group to share one seat when participating in MSC plenary meetings. • keep the regular observer status of UEAPME who is to be represented on a regular basis by the MSC observer from Cefic and will participate in the MSC meetings on occasional basis. <p>2. With regard to the admission of ASOs as MSC occasional observers, MSC decided to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • re-confirm that the remaining stakeholder organisations (mainly sectorial ones) that showed interest in the MSC work can continue to be invited on an occasional basis, in accordance with MSC General approach on the ASO admission to the MSC work at the discretion of the MSC Chair's decision, • agree on admission of Fuels Europe, Plastics Recyclers Europe and PlasticsEurope – Association of Plastics Manufacturers) as MSC occasional observers. 	<p>decisions taken and to update the list of the MSC ASO observers on ECHA's website after the meeting.</p>
<p>Item 13 – Adoption of main conclusions and action points</p>	
<p>MSC adopted the main conclusions and action points of MSC-66 at the meeting.</p>	<p>MSC-S to upload the main conclusions and action points on MSC S-CIRCABC by 24 October.</p>