

**31 May 2024**

**SEAC/M/62/2024 FINAL**

**Final**

**Minutes of the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

**12-15 March 2024**

## **I. Summary Record of the Proceeding**

### **1) Welcome**

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC.

The Chair reminded participants of their obligations regarding maintaining security during the meeting and informed that the meeting would not and should not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

### **2) Adoption of the Agenda**

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-62. The agenda was adopted without modifications (in line with SEAC/A/62/2024).

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

### **3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda**

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest with any of the specific agenda items. Six members and four advisors declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-1. These members will not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair and the Deputy Chair declared their absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-62 plenary meeting.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

### **4) General SEAC procedures**

#### **a) Report on SEAC-61 action points and written procedures**

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-61 had been completed or would be followed up during the ongoing SEAC-62 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-61 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to Interact Meetings as well as on the ECHA website.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL, and reported back from the first meeting of the Authorisation Task Force.

## 5) Restrictions

### 5.1 General restriction issues

None

### 5.2 Opinion development

- 1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – Overview on next plenary discussions and draft opinion with focus on consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax, and general approach

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from Germany, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, as well as the occasional stakeholder observers from Aerospace, Security and Defence Industries Association of Europe (ASD), CropLife Europe, Europe's Technology Industries association (Orgalim), European Association for Electromobility (AVERE), European Chemical Society (EuChemS), European Committee for Surface Treatment (CETS), European Federation of National Associations of Water Services (EurEau), European Trade Association representing the medical imaging, radiotherapy, health ICT and electromedical industries (COCIR), Federation of the European Cookware, Cutlery and Housewares Association (FEC), Plastics Recyclers Europe (PRE), RECHARGE and, together with their accompanying experts, and the regular stakeholder observers (Cefic, EEB, PlasticsEurope, Eurometaux, MedTech Europe, ChemSec and ClientEarth) together with their accompanying experts. She reminded participants of the role of stakeholder observers and their obligations under the [Code of Conduct](#) and explained that participation in each meeting will be decided once all registrations of interested stakeholder observers are received, considering the topics to be covered in each meeting.

The Secretariat provided information on the next plenary discussions. It was explained that in June 2024 SEAC will discuss the next draft opinion with focus on metal plating and manufacture of metal products, and updates to the draft opinion concerning the general approach, consumer mixtures, cosmetics and ski wax, where relevant. In September 2024, SEAC will discuss the draft opinion with focus on textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel, carpets (TULAC), food contact materials and packaging, and petroleum and mining. More information about the committees' plans will be announced as work advances and this information will be communicated in conjunction with the committee meetings.

The RAC rapporteur summarised the discussions held during the RAC-68 working group on restrictions and the RAC-68 plenary meeting concerning this dossier.

The SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the changes introduced in the draft opinion related to **general aspects** of the restriction proposal, including on the general 18-month transition period when no derogation is proposed, the site-specific management plans (for manufacturers, importers and downstream users of fluoropolymers and perfluoropolyethers making use of the proposed derogations), paragraph 9 of the proposed restriction wording (relating to overlaps with other relevant Union legislation), and the general approach to the socio-economic analysis (including the general approach to assessing costs, benefits, and proportionality).

SEAC supported the rapporteurs' evaluation thus far related to the general 18-month transition period. SEAC raised concerns about the usefulness of site-specific management plans, including the currently proposed wording, but noted that further updates by the Dossier Submitter may be provided due to recommendations issued by SEAC on this

matter. Regarding paragraph 9, SEAC was supportive of the intention to limit the overlaps with other legislation, but raised some concerns about the currently proposed wording while recognising the importance of making the committees' intention clear so that the decision maker can find the appropriate wording.

While SEAC expressed overall support for the rapporteurs' evaluation thus far of the general SEA approach outlined in the dossier, the committee raised several questions and highlighted potential shortcomings with the approach. Regarding benefits, SEAC discussed the methodology to characterize the effectiveness of the assessed restriction options and the usefulness of expressing emission reduction in relative versus absolute terms. Regarding costs, SEAC deliberated on the categorization used in the dossier to classify the predominantly qualitatively assessed costs and its implications for the proportionality assessment, cross-sector cost comparison, and overall aggregation of costs for the proposed restriction. SEAC discussed the proportionality assessment and to what extent proportionality could be evaluated at sector level or should be addressed for the overall restriction. The committee also noted that the general SEA approach must first be applied to further sectors by the Dossier Submitter before SEAC can more firmly conclude on its appropriateness.

The rapporteurs proceeded to present their evaluation of sector-specific aspects related to **ski wax, cosmetics, and consumer mixtures** and miscellaneous consumer articles, during separate meeting slots. For all three sectors, SEAC supported the evaluation and the provisional conclusions reached by the rapporteurs with respect to availability and technical and economic feasibility of alternatives, costs, benefits, and proportionality. Given the concerns with certain aspects of the Dossier Submitter's general SEA approach discussed earlier in the meeting and the ongoing evaluation of this approach, SEAC emphasized the need to transparently describe the elements considered to arrive at conclusions as well as any identified uncertainties. It was, however, noted that the shortcomings concerning the general SEA approach did not affect the provisional conclusions for the discussed sectors. Additionally, it was highlighted that waste-stage emissions had not yet been taken into account in the benefits assessment for any of the discussed sectors. These emissions will be considered in the next version of the draft opinion, based on the assessment provided by the Dossier Submitter. Regarding strings for musical instruments, the committee deliberated on cultural aspects and performance loss, suggesting that these elements could be expanded upon in the draft opinion.

In conclusion, SEAC supported and provisionally agreed with the rapporteurs' evaluation regarding the general approach and the sector-specific elements on consumer mixtures and miscellaneous consumer articles, cosmetics, and ski wax.

The Chair concluded the discussions and requested the rapporteurs to address the information submitted by the Dossier Submitter in the updated Background Document, the outcome of the discussions at SEAC-62, and SEAC members' written comments submitted during the SEAC consultation in the draft opinion. Further details on the next steps are available at <https://echa.europa.eu/-/next-steps-for-pfas-restriction-proposal>.

The regular and occasional stakeholder observer representatives and their accompanying experts from ChemSec, Cefic, EEB, EurEau, Eurometaux, EUChemS, FEC, RECHARGE, and PlasticsEurope, commented on general aspects, alternatives, costs, and benefits.

### **5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers**

SEAC was provided with an update on the call for expression of interest for the upcoming restriction proposals.

## **6) Authorisation**

### **6.1 General authorisation issues**

#### **a) Update on incoming/future applications**

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2024, as well as opinion development timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the February 2024 submission window and other AfA-related issues discussed during this plenary meeting.

#### **b) Updated opinion format**

The Secretariat presented and SEAC took note of the updated AfA opinion format (version 4.3). SEAC rapporteurs will use the new template for opinions on applications for authorisation received during and after the February 2024 submission window.

#### **c) SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions**

A working group member presented and SEAC discussed the draft of SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions. SEAC took note of and discussed the updated approach. Representatives of stakeholder organisations (Eurometaux, ClientEarth, Cefic and Aerospace, Security and Defence Industries Association of Europe) also contributed to the plenary discussion. SEAC agreed on the updated approach, and adopted the document.

The Secretariat will update the approach document according to the plenary discussion and will publish it on the ECHA website. The Secretariat will also ensure that other Committee documents are in line with the proposed approach. In addition, the Committee requested the Secretariat to take actions to promote the new document.

### **6.2 Authorisation applications**

#### **a) Discussion on key issues**

The SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the applications for authorisation 348\_RR1\_NPE\_Chemetall (2 uses), 349\_RR1\_OPE\_Biomerieux (1 use), 350\_RR1\_OPE\_PPG (2 uses), 351\_PD\_Turdus (1 use), 352\_DEHP\_Baxter (3 uses) and 353\_TEL\_Shell (1 use) and specified the identified key issues in them. SEAC members asked questions of a clarifying nature on the identified key issues.

#### **b) Agreement on draft opinion**

1. 323\_CT\_HDO-Druckguss (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating (by a long-term contractual supplier) of metal substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces with decorative character.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, contractual obligations of the applicants, as well as the length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion on the substitution efforts by the applicants and their commitment to gradually reduce use of chromium trioxide. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (ChemSec) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on the practice of making long-term contracts for a process that involves SVHC substances.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

2. 325\_ADCR\_Anodise\_sealing (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Anodise sealing using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the applicants, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the applicants.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

3. 326\_ADCR\_Anodising (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Anodising using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the applicants, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the applicants.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

4. 327\_ADCR\_Chemical\_conversion\_coating (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate and potassium dichromate:

Use 1: Chemical conversion coating using chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate and/or potassium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the applicants, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the applicants.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

5. 328\_ADCR\_Chromate\_rinsing (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Chromate rinsing after phosphating using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed SEAC evaluation and conclusions on the substitution plan/R&D plan, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and also discussed the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

6. 329\_ADCR\_Electroplating (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

7. 330\_ADCR\_Finish\_stripping (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Inorganic finish stripping using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on a shortlisted alternative substances.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

8. 331\_ADCR\_Formulation (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of soluble Cr(VI) substances:

Use 1: Formulation of mixtures with soluble Cr(VI) compounds for use in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains for surface treatments.

SEAC members noted that there is no analysis for alternatives available for this particular use. SEAC discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

9. 332\_ADCR\_Passivation\_metallic\_coatings (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate and potassium dichromate:

Use 1: Passivation of (non-Al) metallic coatings using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate or potassium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on a shortlisted alternative substances.



The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

#### 10. 333\_ADCR\_Pre-treatments (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Pre-treatments: Deoxidising, pickling, etching and/or desmutting using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the applicants, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the applicants.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

#### 11. 334\_ADCR\_Stainless\_steel\_passivation (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Passivation of stainless steel using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed SEAC evaluation and conclusions on the substitution plan/R&D plan, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and also discussed the length of the review period. SEAC members noted progress with the substitution for this use. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

#### 12. 335\_ADCR\_RR\_Anodising (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Anodising using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the authorisation holders, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential

limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the authorisation holders. The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 13. 336\_ADCR\_RR\_Anodise\_sealing (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide, potassium dichromate, sodium chromate and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Anodise sealing using chromium trioxide, potassium dichromate, sodium chromate and/or sodium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the authorisation holders, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the authorisation holders. The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 14. 337\_ADCR\_RR\_Chemical\_conversion\_coating (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate, potassium dichromate and dichromium tris(chromate):

Use 1: Chemical conversion coating using chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate, potassium dichromate and/or dichromium tris(chromate) in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the authorisation holders, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the authorisation holders. The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 15. 338\_ADCR\_RR\_Chromate\_rinsing (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Chromate rinsing after phosphating using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed SEAC evaluation and conclusions on the substitution plan/R&D plan, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and also discussed the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 16. 339\_ADCR\_RR\_Electroplating (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:  
Use 1: Electroplating using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 17. 340\_ADCR\_RR\_Finish\_stripping (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:  
Use 1: Inorganic finish stripping using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on a shortlisted alternative substances.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 18. 341\_ADCR\_RR\_Formulation (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of soluble Cr(VI) substances:  
Use 1: Formulation of mixtures with soluble Cr(VI) compounds for use in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains for surface treatments.

SEAC members noted that there is no analysis for alternatives available for this particular use. SEAC discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 19. 342\_ADCR\_RR\_Passivation\_metallic\_coatings (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide, sodium dichromate and potassium dichromate:

Use 1: Passivation of (non-Al) metallic coatings using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate or potassium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on a shortlisted alternative substances.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 20. 343\_ADCR\_RR\_Pre-treatments (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Pre-treatments: Deoxidising, pickling, etching and/or desmutting using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed the availability of alternatives, R&D activities by the authorisation holders, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, as well as the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the discussion reflecting on potential regrettable substitution and potential limited availability of rare earth elements, as well as their high cost, with regard to two alternatives, which were included in the alternatives shortlist by the authorisation holders.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 21. 344\_ADCR\_RR\_Slurry\_coating (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:  
Use 1: Slurry coatings using chromium trioxide in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed SEAC evaluation and conclusions on the substitution plan/R&D plan, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and also discussed the length of the review period. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 22. 345\_ADCR\_RR\_Stainless\_steel\_passivation (1 use)

This is a review report for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Passivation of stainless steel using chromium trioxide or sodium dichromate in aerospace and defence industry and its supply chains.

SEAC members discussed SEAC evaluation and conclusions on the substitution plan/R&D plan, the representativeness of the information obtained from downstream users, and also discussed the length of the review period. SEAC members noted progress with the substitution for this use. One SEAC member raised some concerns on the recommended review period and considered a normal review period as more appropriate, given that it is expected substitution will take place throughout the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holders for commenting.

#### 23. 346\_CT\_Safran\_landing\_systems (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for hard chrome plating to provide key functional properties such as wear resistance (...) in the manufacturing of landing and braking system parts for aeronautical applications exclusively supplied by Safran Landing Systems without technical limitations with the identified alternative.

Use 2: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for hard chrome plating in the manufacturing and the repairing of landing gear parts exclusively supplied by Safran Landing Systems and for which there is not yet potential alternatives identified as part of the substitution process.

SEAC members discussed the balance between benefits and monetised risks of continued use, as they are reflected in the draft opinions and the absolute numbers of health impacts.. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion on the availability of alternatives in general, as well as the benefits of continued use. A representative of a stakeholder organisation (Eurometaux) also contributed to the

discussion reflecting on much higher costs of the alternatives chosen by the applicant, and the approach taken by the applicant to calculate exposure of humans via the environment. The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.

#### 24. 347\_CT\_Safran\_Aircraft\_Engines (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:  
Use 1: Industrial use of slurry mixtures containing chromium trioxide for the surface treatment of aircraft engines parts including turbines and compressors.

SEAC members discussed availability of alternatives in general, as well as the length of the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

### **c) Adoption of opinion**

#### 1. 305\_CT\_Meoni\_e\_Bartoletti (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a use of chromium trioxide:  
Use 1: Use of chromium trioxide for the hard-chrome plating of hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders for various applications, and inner tubes of motorbike front suspension for the automotive industry.

The application for authorisation was received by the Committee in February 2023. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-60 plenary meeting. On 15 January 2024 the applicant submitted comments on the draft opinion.

SEAC discussed availability of an alternative as presented by the applicant, as well as time needed for the applicant to switch to the alternative substance, hence the length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission also contributed to the discussion on the availability of alternatives in general.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with the Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and publish it on the ECHA website.

#### 2. 310\_CT\_Cromotecnica\_Fida (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a use of chromium trioxide:  
Use 1: Functional chrome plating of hydraulic cylinders, stems, pistons and rollers using chromium trioxide.

The application for authorisation was received by the Committee in February 2023. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-60 plenary meeting. On 15 January 2024 the applicant submitted comments on the draft opinion.

SEAC discussed commercial availability of an alternative. A representative of the European Commission also contributed to the discussion on the availability of alternatives in general.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and publish it on the ECHA website.

### **6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)**

This agenda item was not discussed at the SEAC-62 plenary meeting.

### **7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)**

None.

### **8) AOB**

#### **a) Update of the work plan**

The Chair informed that an update of the work plan for the future months will be published on ECHA's website.

### **9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-62**

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

**Main conclusions and action points  
SEAC-62, 2024**

(Adopted at SEAC-62 meeting)

<b>Agenda point</b>	
<b>Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions</b>	<b>Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)</b>
<b>2. Adoption of the agenda</b>	
The agenda was adopted without modifications (SEAC/A/62/2024).	
<b>3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda</b>	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
<b>4. General SEAC procedures</b>	
<b>a) Report on SEAC-61 action points and written procedures</b>	
SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-61.  Furthermore, SEAC took note of the report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee, the Authorisation Task Force meeting, and ongoing work on developing the definition of SAGA.	
<b>5. Restrictions</b>	
<b>5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers</b>	
<b>a) Opinion development</b>	
<b>1. Universal Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) - Overview on next plenary discussions and draft opinion with focus on consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax, and general approach</b>	
SEAC was provided with an overview of the next plenary discussions.  SEAC supported and provisionally agreed with the rapporteurs' evaluation regarding the general approach and the sector-specific elements on consumer mixtures and miscellaneous consumer articles, cosmetics, and ski wax.	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> to address the information submitted by the Dossier Submitter in the updated Background Document, the outcome of the discussions at SEAC-62, and SEAC members' written comments submitted during the SEAC consultation.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to table further discussions as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEAC-63 meeting in June 2024: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Metal plating and manufacture of metal products; and</li> <li>○ Updates to the draft opinion concerning general approach,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



	<p>consumer mixtures and miscellaneous consumer articles, cosmetics, and ski wax (where relevant).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SEAC-64 meeting in September 2024: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel, carpets (TULAC);</li> <li>○ Food contact materials and packaging; and</li> <li>○ Petroleum and mining.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>More information about the committees' plans will be announced as work advances. This information will be communicated in conjunction with the committee meetings.</p>
<p><b>6. Authorisation</b></p>	
<p><b>6.1 General authorisation issues</b></p>	
<p><b>a) Update on incoming/future applications</b></p>	
<p>SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the February 2024 submission window.</p>	
<p><b>b) Updated opinion format</b></p>	
<p>SEAC took note of the updated AfA opinion format (version 4.3).</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to use the new template for opinions on applications for authorisation received during the February 2024 submission window.</p>
<p><b>c) SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions</b></p>	
<p>SEAC took note of and discussed the updated SEAC approach on recommending review periods.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on the updated approach at SEAC-62 and the document was agreed.</p>	<p><b>SECR</b> to update the approach document according to the plenary discussion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to publish the document on the ECHA website, and to take actions to promote the new document.</p>
<p><b>6.2 Authorisation applications</b></p>	
<p><b>a) Discussion on key issues</b></p>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 348_RR1_NPE_Chemetall (2 uses)</li> <li>2. 349_RR1_OPE_Biomerieux (1 use)</li> <li>3. 350_RR1_OPE_PPG (2 uses)</li> <li>4. 351_PD_Turdus (1 use)</li> <li>5. 352_DEHP_Baxter (3 uses)</li> <li>6. 353_TEL_Shell (1 use)</li> </ol>	

<p>SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the 6 applications for authorisation.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> to take the discussions into account for the development of the draft opinions.</p>
<p><b>b) Agreement on draft opinions</b></p>	
<p><b>Opinions agreed by consensus without editorials</b></p>	
<p>1. 323_CT_HDO-Druckguss (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions for these applications for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p>
<p><b>Opinions agreed by consensus with editorials</b></p>	
<p>1. 325_ADCR_Anodise_sealing (1 use)  2. 326_ADCR_Anodising (1 use)  3. 327_ADCR_Chemical_conversion_coating (1 use)  4. 328_ADCR_Chromate_rinsing (1 use)  5. 329_ADCR_Electroplating (1 use)  6. 330_ADCR_Finish_stripping (1 use)  7. 331_ADCR_Formulation (1 use)  8. 332_ADCR_Passivation_metallic_coatings (1 use)  9. 333_ADCR_Pre-treatments (1 use)  10. 334_ADCR_Stainless_steel_passivation (1 use)  11. 335_ADCR_RR_Anodising (1 use)  12. 336_ADCR_RR_Anodise_sealing (1 use)  13. 337_ADCR_RR_Chemical_conversion_coating (1 use)  14. 338_ADCR_RR_Chromate_rinsing (1 use)  15. 339_ADCR_RR_Electroplating (1 use)  16. 340_ADCR_RR_Finish_stripping (1 use)  17. 341_ADCR_RR_Formulation (1 use)  18. 342_ADCR_RR_Passivation_metallic_coatings (1 use)  19. 343_ADCR_RR_Pre-treatments (1 use)  20. 344_ADCR_RR_Slurry_coating (1 use)  21. 345_ADCR_RR_Stainless_steel_passivation (1 use)  22. 346_CT_Safran_landing_systems (2 uses)  23. 347_CT_Safran_Aircraft_Engines (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-62) on these applications for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p>

<b>c) Adoption of opinion</b>	
<b>Opinions adopted by consensus</b>	
1. 305_CT_Meoni_e_Bartoletti (1 use) 2. 310_CT_Cromotecnica_Fida (1 use)	
<p>The SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft final opinions.</p> <p>SEAC adopted its final opinions on these applications for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b>, together with <b>SECR</b>, to do the final editing of the SEAC opinions.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the opinions to the Commission, the Member States, and the applicants, and to publish them on the ECHA website.</p>
<b>9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-62</b>	
SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-62.	

### III. List of Attendees

#### SEAC-62

<b>SEAC members</b>
ANASTASIOU Christos
ARGYROPOULOS Christos
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
BÜCKER Michael
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa (co-opted)
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
FREUDENTHAL Oona
GABBERT Silke
GAIDUKOVS Sergejs
GRACIA Ignacio
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JOMINI Stéphane
JONES Derrick (co-opted)
JOYCE John
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LÜDEKE Andreas
MÅGE Marit
PIÑEROS Juan
PETERSEN Ida Svostrup
REALE Priscilla
RODRIGUEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart (co-opted)
RUZGYS Karolis
SERRA Alexandra
SOFIKITI Nikoletta
SPITERI Jonathan (co-opted)
THIELE Karen
TÓKÉS Gábor
ŽELJEŽIĆ Davor

**ECHA STAFF**

AHTIAINEN Heini
ALAMI-EERIKINHARJU Wafa
ANAGNOSTAKIS Konstantinos
ATANASOVA Marina
BARNEWITZ Greta
BIN Essi
CAMPBELL Pamela
DOYLE Simone
GALETTA FEINDT Athina
GMEINDER Michael
HAMMER Jort
HENRICHSON Sanna
KIVELÄ Kalle
LEFEVRE Sandrine
LEHTO HÜRLIMANN Mikko
LISBOA Patricia
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan
LOUKOU Christina
LUDBORZS Arnis
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
MUSTAQH Fesil
MÄKELÄ Petteri
NICOT Thierry
NIEMELÄ Helena
NURMI Väinö
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PARIKKA Petra
PILLET Monique
POPOVIC Marko
PORTUGAL Laura
REGIL Pablo
RHEINBERGER Christoph
SALO Marta
STOYANOVA Evgenia
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane
WILK Mateusz
ZEIGER Bastian

<b>Commission observers</b>	
ANDRE Viviane	DG ENV
BEEKMAN Martijn	DG GROW
BERTATO Valentina	DG ENV
BOUGAS Kastalie	DG ENV
PEREZ SIMBOR Maria Laia	DG GROW
ROSSI Ludovica	DG GROW

<b>Stakeholder Observers and Experts</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Representing</b>	<b>Agenda point</b>
AHLSKOG Jan	FIPRA, Expert to COCIR	UPFAS
BOCK Ronald	AGC, Expert to PlasticsEurope	UPFAS
CHIRI Matteo	CETS: European Committee for Surface Treatment	AfA
CONSOLI Elisa	Cefic, Expert to Eurometaux	UPFAS
CORRIDORI Ricardo	COCIR: European Trade Association representing the medical imaging, radiotherapy, health ICT and electromedical industries	UPFAS
DE KORT Patrick	PRE: Plastics Recyclers Europe	UPFAS
DUGUY Hélène	ClientEarth	
DYEKJAER Sidsel	ChemSec	
GERDING Anna	Expert to CropLife Europe	UPFAS
GLÜGE Juliane	EuChemS: European Chemical Society	UPFAS
GUITTON Ludovic	FEC: Federation of the European Cookware, Cutlery and Housewares Association	UPFAS
HEDFORS Cecilia	SSNC: Swedish society for nature conservation, Expert to EEB	UPFAS
HÉLIOT Raphaël	AVERE: European Association for Electromobility	UPFAS
HERMANN Christine	EEB: European Environmental Bureau	
HERZKE Dorte	Expert to ClientEarth	UPFAS
JANOSI Amaya	Cefic: European Chemical Industry Council	
KAUR Rajinder	RECHARGE	UPFAS
KLEIMARK Jonatan	ChemSec, Expert to ChemSec	UPFAS
LE LOUARN Candice	Groupe SEB: Burgundy's embossing company, Expert to FEC	UPFAS
LENNQUIST Anna	ChemSec, Expert to EEB	UPFAS
LOEBEL Oliver	EurEau: European Federation of National Associations of Water Services	UPFAS
MATEOS Julio	Orgalim: Europe's Technology	UPFAS

	Industries association	
MUELLER Viktoria	Expert to EEB	UPFAS
PARADELA Belarmino	ASD: Aerospace, Security and Defence Industries Association of Europe	UPFAS, AfA
REILLY Veronica	Abbott, Expert to MedTech Europe	UPFAS
ROBIN Nicolas	PlasticsEurope	UPFAS
RUELENS Paul	CropLife Europe	UPFAS
SANTOS Roumiana	MedTech Europe	
TURNBULL Aidan	Apple, Expert to RECHARGE	UPFAS
VEITH Susanne	Dupont, Expert to Cefic	UPFAS
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo	Eurometaux	

<b>Invited expert</b>	
BAUER Kai	EASA: European Aviation Safety Agency
LEINALA Eeva	OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
WAITE Simon	EASA

<b>Advisors</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Advisor to</b>
ANTONIADOU Sofia	GR	SOFIKITI Nikoletta
DE BLAEIJ Arianne	NL	JANSSEN Martien
HARD Sebastiana		GABBERT Silke
HELMEDACH Achim	DE	THIELE Karen
PETERS Oliver		
MORO IACOPINI Sabrina	IT	CASTELLI Stefano
MOSER-CASTAN Stephanie	AT	FANKHAUSER Simone

<b>Dossier Submitters</b>	
<b>Name</b>	<b>Restriction</b>
CARLSSON FENG Mattias	UPFAS
DANNENBERG Carl	UPFAS
DE BLAEIJ Arianne	UPFAS
DE KORT Thijs	UPFAS
DETJENS Marc	UPFAS
DROST Wiebke	UPFAS
GABBERT Silke	UPFAS
HARD Sebastiana	UPFAS
HEGGELUND Audun	UPFAS
HELMEDACH Achim	UPFAS
MÅGE Marit	UPFAS
JANSSEN Martien	UPFAS
NIELSEN Peter Juhl	UPFAS
SIMPSON Peter	UPFAS
SVOSTRUP PETERSEN Ida	UPFAS



#### **IV. List of Annexes**

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

**ANNEX I**

**Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

<b>Document</b>	<b>Number</b>
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/62/2024
SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions	SEAC/62/2024/01

## DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<u>Name of participant</u>	<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Interest declared</u>	
Marit MÅGE	5.2a-1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS)	Dossier Submitter	
Ida SVOSTRUP PETERSEN			
John JOYCE			
Karen THIELE		Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on these substances - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.	
Martien JANSSEN		Dossier Submitter	
Silke GABBERT			
Sebastiana HARD (Advisor to SEAC M Silke GABBERT)			
Arianne DE BLAEIJ (Advisor to SEAC M Martien JANSSEN)			
Achim HELMEDACH (Advisor to SEAC M Karen THIELE)		5.2a-1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS)	Dossier Submitter
Audun HEGGELUND (Advisor to Marit MÅGE)		5.2a-1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS)	Dossier Submitter

1 March 2024  
SEAC/A/62/2024

**Final Draft Agenda**  
**62nd meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

**12-15 March 2024**

**Face-to-face meeting**

**Tuesday 12 March starts at 10:00**  
**Friday 15 March ends at 13:40**

Registration deadline 15 February 2024

**Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies**

**Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda**

***SEAC/A/62/2024***  
***For adoption***

**Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda**

**Item 4 – General SEAC procedures**

**b) Report on SEAC-61 action points and written procedures**

**For information**

**Item 5 – Restrictions**

**5.1 General restriction issues**

None

## 5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

### b) Opinion development

- 1) Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – Overview on next plenary discussions and draft opinion with focus on consumer mixtures, cosmetics, ski wax, and general approach

***For discussion***

## 5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers **(closed session)**

***For information***

## Item 6 – Authorisation

### 6.1 General authorisation issues

- c) Update on incoming/future applications
- d) Updated opinion format

***For information***

- e) SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions

***SEAC/62/2024/01***

***For discussion and agreement***

### 6.4 Authorisation applications

- d) Discussion on key issues

7. 348\_RR1\_NPE\_Chemetall (2 uses)
8. 349\_RR1\_OPE\_Biomerieux (1 use)
9. 350\_RR1\_OPE\_PPG (2 uses)
10. 351\_PD\_Turdus (1 use)
11. 352\_DEHP\_Baxter (3 uses)
12. 353\_TEL\_Shell (1 use)

***For discussion***

- e) Agreement on draft opinion

25. 323\_CT\_HDO-Druckguss (1 use)
26. 325\_ADCR\_Anodise\_sealing (1 use)
27. 326\_ADCR\_Anodising (1 use)
28. 327\_ADCR\_Chemical\_conversion\_coating (1 use)
29. 328\_ADCR\_Chromate\_rinsing (1 use)

- 30. 329\_ADCR\_Electroplating (1 use)
- 31. 330\_ADCR\_Finish\_stripping (1 use)
- 32. 331\_ADCR\_Formulation (1 use)
- 33. 332\_ADCR\_Passivation\_metallic\_coatings (1 use)
- 34. 333\_ADCR\_Pre-treatments (1 use)
- 35. 334\_ADCR\_Stainless\_steel\_passivation (1 use)
- 36. 335\_ADCR\_RR\_Anodising (1 use)
- 37. 336\_ADCR\_RR\_Anodise\_sealing (1 use)
- 38. 337\_ADCR\_RR\_Chemical\_conversion\_coating (1 use)
- 39. 338\_ADCR\_RR\_Chromate\_rinsing (1 use)
- 40. 339\_ADCR\_RR\_Electroplating (1 use)
- 41. 340\_ADCR\_RR\_Finish\_stripping (1 use)
- 42. 341\_ADCR\_RR\_Formulation (1 use)
- 43. 342\_ADCR\_RR\_Passivation\_metallic\_coatings (1 use)
- 44. 343\_ADCR\_RR\_Pre-treatments (1 use)
- 45. 344\_ADCR\_RR\_Slurry\_coating (1 use)
- 46. 345\_ADCR\_RR\_Stainless\_steel\_passivation (1 use)
- 47. 346\_CT\_Safran\_landing\_systems (2 uses)
- 48. 347\_CT\_Safran\_Aircraft\_Engines (1 use)

***For discussion and agreement***

f) Adoption of opinion

- 3. 305\_CT\_Meoni\_e\_Bartoletti (1 use)
- 4. 310\_CT\_Cromotecnica\_Fida (1 use)

***For discussion and adoption***

## **6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)**

***For information***

### **Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests**

None

### **Item 8 – AOB**

a) Update of the work plan

***For information***

### **Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-62**

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-62

***For adoption***

PROVISIONAL TIMELINE FOR THE DISCUSSIONS AT SEAC-62

Please note that timings are approximate. Changes may be made by the Chair before and during the meeting to accommodate the discussions.

Week 1

**Tuesday 12 March 2024: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

10:00	10:10	ADMIN	Welcome and apologies	0:10
10:10	10:15	ADMIN	Adoption of the agenda	0:05
10:15	10:20	ADMIN	Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda	0:05
10:20	11:05	ADMIN	Report on SEAC-61 action points, written procedures, and update on other ECHA bodies, including topics from the two meetings of the AfA task force	0:45
11:05	11:30	AFA	General issues: - New AfAs received during February 2024 submission window - Updated opinion format	0:25
11:30	12:00		Coffee break	0:30
12:00	12:40	AFA	305_CT_Meoni_e_Bartoletti, 310_CT_Cromotecnica_Fida - adoption of opinions	0:40
12:40	13:40		Lunch	1:00

**Tuesday 12 March 2024: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

13:40	14:50	AFA	323_CT_HDO-Druckguss - agreement on draft opinion	1:10
14:50	15:20		Coffee break	0:30
15:20	17:20	AFA	General issues: SEAC's approach for recommending review periods in authorisation opinions - discussion and agreement	2:00

**Wednesday 13 March 2024: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

09:30	11:30	AFA	General presentation of ADCR AfAs/RRs	2:00
11:30	12:00		Coffee break	0:30
12:00	13:30	AFA	341_ADCR_RR_Formulation, 331_ADCR_Formulation, 345_ADCR_RR_Stainless_steel_passivation, 334_ADCR_Stainless_steel_passivation, 338_ADCR_RR_Chromate_rinsing, 328_ADCR_Chromate_rinsing, 344_ADCR_RR_Slurry_coating - agreement on draft opinions	1:30
13:30	14:30		Lunch	1:00

### **Wednesday 13 March 2024: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

14:30	16:00	AFA	343_ADCR_RR_Pre-treatments, 333_ADCR_Pre-treatments, 326_ADCR_Anodising, 335_ADCR_RR_Anodising, 325_ADCR_Anodise_sealing, 336_ADCR_RR_Anodise_sealing, 337_ADCR_RR_Chemical_conversion_coating, 327_ADCR_Chemical_conversion_coating - agreement on draft opinions	1:30
16:00	16:30		<i>Coffee break</i>	0:30
16:30	18:00	AFA	339_ADCR_RR_Electroplating, 329_ADCR_Electroplating, 342_ADCR_RR_Passivation_metallic_coatings, 332_ADCR_Passivation_metallic_coatings, 340_ADCR_RR_Finish_stripping, 330_ADCR_Finish_stripping - agreement on draft opinions <i>Reception</i>	1:30

### **Thursday 14 March 2024: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

09:30	11:30	RESTR	Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – <b>Slot I: Overview on next plenary discussions and general approach</b>	2:00
11:30	12:00		<i>Coffee break</i>	0:30
12:00	13:00	RESTR	Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – <b>Slot IV: Ski wax</b>	1:00
13:00	14:00		<i>Lunch</i>	1:00

### **Thursday 14 March 2024: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

14:00	15:30	RESTR	Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – <b>Slot II: Cosmetics</b>	1:30
15:30	16:00		<i>Coffee break</i>	0:30
16:00	18:00	RESTR	Universal per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (UPFAS) – <b>Slot III: Consumer Mixtures</b>	2:00

### **Friday 15 March 2024: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

10:00	11:10	AFA	346_CT_Safran_landing_systems, 347_CT_Safran_Aircraft_Engines - agreement on draft opinions	1:10
11:10	11:40		<i>Coffee break</i>	0:30
11:40	12:10	AFA	348_RR1_NPE_Chemetall, 349_RR1_OPE_Biomerieux, 350_RR1_OPE_PPG, 351_PD_Turdus, 352_DEHP_Baxter, 353_TEL_Shell - Key issues discussion	0:30
12:10	12:40	AOB	Any other business	0:30
12:40	12:55	ADMIN	Appointment of rapporteurs (AfA, Restrictions) - <b>Closed session</b>	0:15
12:55	13:25	ADMIN	Conclusions, action points	0:30
13:25	13:40	ADMIN	Closing of the meeting	0:15

**END OF SEAC-62**