

Webinar:Questions and answers

ECHA organised a webinar on 24 November 2021 on Poison centre notifications: explaining new changes and functionalities.

This document compiles the questions and answers from the webinar. Minor editorial changes have been made to correct spelling mistakes and similar questions have been combined into one. The document will not be updated.

For the most up-to-date advice, <u>contact us</u> or refer to our <u>support material</u>.

Question	Answer
Would it be possible to have access to the individual powerpoints as reference material?	The presentations are published on the webinar page: https://echa.europa.eu/en/-/webnar-pcn-20211124
In case 'disabile submission' option due to 'submietted dossier contains wrong information' - Can I use the UFI code from disabiled subsmission to new submission (whether it is necessary to create a new UFI?)	yes, you can.
Is the 'disable submissions' option available only for limited submissions (for industrial use only)	No. It'll be available to all types of submissions.
Is change of UFI of MIMs in our products notifications only "update"? Can we delete previous UFI of MIM or in update must stay both UFIs = form previous and also from new MIM producer?	If the component doesn't change, but simply a new UFI is assigned to it, in principles there is no need to update the notification and there is no reason for deleting the original MIM's UFI (the notification of the original supplier remains available for the AB). If you change components identifiers you may get QLT and you are recommended to clarify in the justification for update
Requisition: Claudia write: It depends. If you want to disable an Initial submission, you can disable that and re-use in the new submission the same PCN number as the disabled one. My question MUST I use the old PCN? or could at be a new PCN (Like First submission of new Product)?	It is up to you. The system allows you to reuse the same PCN number (no checks preventing that). So you don't have to but you can if so you wish.
continue to resubmitting the notification and the need to update UFI: Business rule number is QLT598. The notification was successful before editing it.	QLT598 checks if component(s) have been added or removed in the composition. If the component(s) have been changed, new UFI and PCN number indeed should be provided. If you are sure that you have not changed the composition and therefore think that the warning QLT598 was triggered incorrectly, then we would be really interested to investigate the issue. Could you then send question to our HelpDesk https://comments.echa.europa.eu/comments_cms/Contact_CLP.aspx?
If a MIM in my product is from a non-EU manufacture and supplied from an EU supplier wo didn't notify it, who should make the notification?	The obligation lies with the EU importer.
Is it enough to have the confirmation from ECHA or does also the Member States need to confirm the receiving to have a valid PCN? If MS confirmation is needed, how does this happen – through the ECHA portal or outside it - and what is necessary to provide to the MS to receive the confirmation?	In the Submission Report you can see the status of your submission, e.g. whether it was successful and which countries have received the submission. There is a table ("Overview of Member States Decisions") indicating for each member state at which state you are able to place the product on the market; the table is available on the following website: https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/echa-submission-portal How to view the submission report, you may refer to the PCN Practical Guide that is available as well on the website indicated above.

If the EU supplier has notified a non-EU MIM, can I just use his UFI without notifying again or I am suppose to notify too?	If you are using the MiM in the Member State where it has been notified, then you can use the UFI, product identifier and concentration information to identify your mixture. In addition it is possible to include the supplier details and concentration information as well.
If the non-EU manufacturer of the MIM has made a volontary PCN notification via ECHA, can I use his UFI without notifying again or should I notify anyway?	If you are importing the MiM you have obligations on this mixture as well. In principles each importer (as duty holder) has to comply with the obligations. You can notify by using the 100% MiM solution
Is it possible to make a group notification if the same components present in all different products are lower < 95%, but all other variable MIM have the same and only one hazardous component? The classification of all products remain the same and the variable MIM have the same hazardous comp.	The criteria for a Group Submissin are clear. The mixtures of the group have to be the same for minimum 95% of their composition
A Notification including a MiM: To my understanding, if a MiM is previously notified in the same country, only a trade name and a UFI is needed to be declared in our notification. A Business rule says that I also need to include the composition of the MiM. Is this required to do or not by us?	Could you please specify which rule you refer to? To identify a MiM in the case you describe, a UFI, product identifier and the concentratrion is required, not the composition.
About the "cease from market": in case I notifiy a product with formula A, then I change the formula, so I make an update "new notification after change of composition" containing new formula B and new UFI. In addition, should I also update formula A notification to flag the markets as "ceased"?	It is advisable but it is not a legal requirement. The new functionality has been made available following request from industry.
Are all topics from your anouncement on 25.10.21 "change in	The question is not clear. The new features possibly coming up are not related to Group
mixture composition without requiring a new UFI" covered with group submission, or will some new features come up later?	Submission. We will nevertheless work on the validation assistant as not all the rules were implemented.
Are fees expected in some member states for limited submissions (industrial products)?	ECHA does not apply any fees for poison centre notifications. This is fully under the responsibility of national authorities. We compile information on those countries applying fees in the 'Overview table' in our website. There you can see that Belgium, Hungary and Italy apply national fees. For any further information, including if they apply to limited submission, I suggest you get in contact with them.
Are voluntary notifications also required to pay fees for? Can this be defined by MS authorities themselves?	The fees are decided at the national level, therefore please contact the relevant appointed bodies for detailed information on the fees. The contact details of the appointed bodies can be found on the following website: https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/appointed-bodies
Are you aware of countries that currently mention they will not charge any fees, but are investigating to change and ask fees in the future?	No, we are not aware of such cases. You can check this document here on the information we have regarding fees by Member States - https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/documents/1789887/5674408/msd_en.pdf/982d9115-58cb-75c8-80ae-8eb16f5c0009

Can Member States that do not currently retrieve dossiers via ECHA's PCN portal (e.g. Bulgaria) still retrieve previously submitted notifications?	Once the country starts to receive the dossiers, they get both the already earlier submitted as well as the new notifications.
Can Poison centres and Enforcement authorities in whatever EU MS see dossiers of all products notified in PCN or only dossiers for products notified for certain EU country where Poison centrum and Enforcement authority is situated?	The notifications are country specific. Only the countries that you select in your notification will access the information.
Can we submit a mixture to PCN without a recipient in Belgium? Can we update the dossier if Belgium will be accepted applications via the ECHA portal in 2022?	You can include Belgium already now: the notification will become valid once Belgium starts accepting submissions via the portal. OR you can add Belgium in a later update when Belgium starts accepting submissions via the portal.
Does ECHA intend to make for Legal entities possible to check if MIM was notified for the same use (consumer use) and for the same countries as LE products.	No, there are no plans to implement such a feature as submitters can also notify at national level. Therefore, ECHA cannot have access to information submitted nationally and such requested check is not possible.
What will happen with our notification of products with such MIMs if MIMs producers notify their product later in PCN but for industrial use only and not all countries as we? Could there happen some problem with our notifications performed before MIMs notifications?	There are different ways to identify your MiM. The main aspect is you provide the information so that posion centres can identify the component. See section 5.4.2 of the PCN practical guide https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/documents/1789887/1803644/pcn_practical_guide_en_en .pdf/4f01baa5-40f1-3103-66e7-25e9584b738e for more details
Could you share the LinkedIn link to check latest MS table?	https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:6839461567361298432
Could you specify example when use justification: "change in the mixture composition without requiring a new UFI" please? No specification for this justification in PCN: a practical guide version 4.0. Is it dedicated only for group submissions or also for other types of submissions?	You can use that justification in case you move from a standard submission to a group submission and vice-versa (that is if you want to add or remove mixture composition documents from the dossier).
Could you specify in which cases is necessary new notification with new UFI for previously notified products please? Only when there are significant changes in mixture composition according to annex VIII of CLP?	This is correct
Did I missunderstand, that these 3 MS (denmark, czech republic, romania) should accept PCN now through echa submission portal? because we checked the overview table from July 2021. And what to do if the appointed bodies do not reply (as e.g. Czech never has replied to several questions in months)?	According to the Overview Table, for those countries you can place your product on the market as soon as your submission has passed the validation checks. That means you do not need to have the "received" event in the submission portal.
Do you have an overview of countries that require additional information for poison center notification on top of the Annex VIII requirements?	The poison centre notification format is harmonised. Member States cannot ask for more information e.g. volumes, that is not included in the legal text with regard to this notification type.

ECHA Login . Should you create one 'login' per company or it is ok to have multiple logins per company i.e. one per user? For Group submission, we are making paints without perfume. What rules we have to follow reagarding the coloring agents, which are non-hazardous, so we can submit the products with a group	1 legal entity account can have multiple users accounts. Ultimately, it is up to the legal entity to decide how they want to 'administrate' the legal entity account, if they want 1 or multiple users. The submission history will still be on behalf of the same legal entity. Group Submissions apply only to mixtures which differ for perfumes. not colourants. You can consider applying the GCI option for colouring agents if it meets the conditions laid out in the legal text. (note this is not the intended purpose for the GCI but still allowed). Please, consult the
submission? For older notifications (from March 2021) I have not received for successful submission information ""Dossier received by " yet. For example for Czech Republic that acceessed PCN in July 2021. Is every MS accepting notification via ECHA portal obliged to confirm "Dossier received by"?	Guidance Six countries are not yet accepting notifications made through the portal (full list in our 'Overview table'). Few others are accepting, but they still need to complete the technical connections to access the information (e.g. Czech Republic, Romania, Denmark). This is the reason for the event "Dossier received by" not being generated yet. As soon as they connect the event will be generated. Our 'Overview table' also reflects when you can place of the market (last column). For most of the countries, you can place in the market as soon as the notification passes the validation rules, no need to wait for the reception by the Member State.
Group Submission could be relevant for products that only differ for few ppm colouring agents. Would it be an option that could be added in the future?	Group Submission is only about mixtures differing for perfumes. There is no plan to modify the legal text on this. The GCI option could apply to colouring agents if it meets the conditions laid out in the legal text.
About the format v3, can you confirm that it will be accepted up to October 2022? So, via S2S, after October 2022 it will be technically necessary to provide PCN format v4?	Dossiers sent in versions prior v.4 will continue being accepted (also after October 2022) provided that the dossier does not trigger business rules that can be introduced in versions older than then one used to generate the dossier itself. If there is a change in the information requirements you have to provide, the latest version always applies and that becomes the version of reference with no transition period allowed. On the other hand, if there is not change in the information requirements you have to provide and no business rule has been introduced, you can continue using older versions of the format. Please remember that business rules are not version specific: if you submit now a dossier generated in v.3, the full list of rules as of October 2021 applies.
Is the GS covered the following case: I have one mixture sold under different trades names with different UFIs. Thank you	This is not Group Submission but a standard submission. There has always been the possibility to include several trade names and UFIs for the same composition. You can include the information in one or several product information documents in IUCLID.
How can we notify products that are constituted by a substance, for example an essential oil, to sell as raw material to cosmetic industries and aromatherapy? The ECHA Submission Portal is not accepting substances as a final product and the product module assumes as a mixture.	Substances are outside the scope of Article 45 and therefore not supposed to be notified
I had notified some products with a UFI(1) but I made a mistake with the formula of some of them and now I want to notify with correct formula but I want to keep the same UFI(1) because I	In some cases it is possible to make a correction of error 'update' it is possible to correct mistakes in composition concentration IF they remain within the allowed limits depicted in the legal text. Once they go outside the limits, the system considers these as composition changes and in

already have done the labels. Could it be possible? How have I to do it?	principle a new UFI would be needed. As the disable functionality has not yet put in place (and considering if your notification cannot wait until then), we suggest you inform the AB about this error. You could consider 1. Submit an update for the correction of error to inform the Appointed Bodies/poison centres about the 'wrong' submission – you can include in the dossier submission remarks to describe the nature of the error e.g. wrong UFI/composition. 2. submit a new notification with the correct composition (You can re-use the UFI) 3. Submit a request (i.e. an update) to disable the wrong submission when it becomes available. Note you will need to disable both the updated submission (in step 1 above) AND the original submission that was originally made with the wrong UFI.
	Also to note that if re-using the UFI in a new notification, you may trigger a QLT rule so you may want to consider to include some details in the dossier header remarks field.
I have done an update because I notified an incorrect composition	It is currently not possible to correct mistakes in compositions via updates. The system considers
and I indicate it is a mistake's correction and the submission is send	these as composition changes. In principle a new UFI is needed. You should submit a new
correctly but It appears the failure BR597. What I have to do?	notification (with a different UFI) and disable the wrong submission as soon as the functionality is available
I have done an update because I notified an incorrect composition	We understand you replaced/deleted/added a component. This in principles could not be done via
and I indicate it is a mistake's correction and the submission is send	a simple update. If the reason was a mistake and the composition did not actually change. Ignore
correctly but It appears the warning QLT598. Have I to do something else or it's ok as it is?	the warning but possibly provide enough information in the reason for justification (remarks).
I have had access to ECHA portal for submission for nearly one year. Now I don't have access any longer to upload the PCN notifications. The password seems to be wrong. I had contacted DIGIT-EC-SMT@ec.europa.eu already in beginning of November, but did not get any answer, yet. What can I do????????	The Submission portal uses the ECHA account's credentials. You should be able to reset your password yourself if you go to the submission's portal login website and use the 'Forgot password'.
I would like to create or to join aDossier PCN Users Group to	As the PCN project is now in the maintenance phase we are not expanding the stakeholder group.
exchange informations and experiences. Does t possible?	If you would like to provide feedback we welcome it via the ECHA contact form.
If a user stops working with a LE, what is the way to cease the user account in this specific LE?	There must be at least 1 user by default per ECHA LE account (the company's account). If there is only 1 user in that LE account, the company should create a new user account first, then they can delete the user account of the person who is leaving. Contrary to this, the system will not allow you to delete the default user in the LE account. For more information on account management, please see the ECHA account's manual from the ECHA guidance page: https://echa.europa.eu/manuals
If by mistake a Test dossier and a simple update of that Test dossier were submitted, it will be possible only to disable the simple update, or it will be possible to disable also the original test	You can remove all of them one by one, starting from the latest one as the rule says that the latest submission can be disabled.

dossier?in other words, it will be possible to remove all the dossiers related to the same PCN number?	
	Vee this is also indicated in the IIO comissor of Manch or Chata Desiries all table that is a called a co
If I do an ECHA PCN for Belgium or Slovakia, should I also submit it	Yes, this is also indicated in the "Overview of Member State Decisions" table that is available on
at national level, as I think has being said in this webminar?	the Poison Centres website:
	https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/echa-submission-portal
	For further details on these, please contact the relevant appointed bodies; the contact details are
	available on the following page:
	https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/appointed-bodies
If my MiM supplier disables/ceases a dossier, what is the effect on	It is responsibility of the MiM supplier to inform industry about disabled/ceased submissions. No
my notified mixture?	information about others' disabled/ceased submissions is sent those who make reference to those
	submissions.
If the Company mistaked in the attached the SDS of a Raw Material	There is no need to change the UFI as long as the composition doesn't change. Please, note that
during the process submission, to correct it can the company	there is no need to attach any SDS
update the registration and the Dossier without creating a new UFI?	
In case we ceased a product in Belgium, we need to still keep	The fees are decided at the national level, therefore please contact the relevant appointed
Belgium included in further updates. Can Belgium appointed body	body/ies for the detailed information regarding this. The contact details of the appointed bodies is
still charge a fee for the updates after the ceased notification?	available on the following website:
	https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/appointed-bodies
In the Overview of Member States decisions concerning the PC	The information we publish is the information that was provided to us by the relevent Authority.
implementation, Ireland is not requesting fees. However, according	Notification to Ireland are for Irishish Authorities. Notifications to Northern Ireland (not included
to HSA there is a fee to notify products to the NPIC.	on our overview table) are to be sent to the UK Authorities.
Is it possible to make a Group Submission when the only difference	No, the legal text limits the Group Submission to differences in perfumes (please, see the
between the compositions is the colorants? All the examples show	Guidance). You can consider applying the GCI option if it meets the conditions laid out in the legal
"perfumes" as the non common composition.	text.
Is necessary to change UFI of product containing MIM that has UFI	If the composition does not change, there is no need to change the final mixture's UFI. If the MiM
when only UFI of MIM has changed but name of MIM, classification	remains the same, in principle then there is no need to update (assuming the original MiM's UFI
of MIM and supplier of MIM have not changed? If is this change	was notified and simply a new additional UFI was given to the MiM). For changes not affecting the
only update how to justify this update? As change in the mixture	UFI indeed that justification can be used.
composition without requiring a new UFI?	OFF indeed that justification can be used.
Is there a site where all poision information centers for all countries	The appointed hadies are listed on the following page:
·	The appointed bodies are listed on the following page:
are listed?	https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/appointed-bodies
	For the contact details of the national Poison Centres, please contact the relevant Appointed Body.
Is there any information on not connected MS where the national	We don't have information about this. In principle all Member States should have a system to
portal for notifications are not yet available? For example, Bulgaria	receive the notifications (either through ECHA or through their own national system, or both). For
	those 6 countries which are not yet accepting notifications through the ECHA system, my
	suggestion is that you get in contact with them to clarify how to notify.

Is there more information available on how to use and notify interchangeable component groups?	In the Guidance and in the PCN practical guide
Last version of overview of MS decisions in relation to	The table of the website is not the latest - we are have had some issues with the website which
implementation of Annex VIII to CLP available on ECHA web page is version 8.0 July 2021. Is this the newest version available to general public? Are you going to issue newer one? If yes when?	has delayed some updates. The latest issue is 8.1 which you can find only in our LinkedIn group. We are working with our wb team to update the information as soon as possible and we apologise for the inconvenience.
One question regarding Group submission. The same classification for health and physical hazards is one criteria. If you have 2 components, 1 is hazardous classified, and the other is not hazardous, can this be done in a group submission?	The Group Submission is for mixtures which contains the same components expect for certain perfumes. The components which vary (perfumes only) may have different classifications
Question about "disabled a successfully submitted dossier". If I have to "enable the dossier again", because of a correction of a wrong UFI (reason for disabling). - Do I have to submit with the "PCN-Number" from the "disabling dossier"?- Or I've to make a new (First) submission (new PCN-number	It depends. If you want to disable an Initial submission, you can disable that and re-use in the new submission the same PCN number as the disabled one. If you want to disable an update, the Initial submission still lives and if you want to replace the update, you have to use the same PCN number (or you'll fail validation rules). If you disable both update and Initial with PCN X, the next submission can have PCN X.
regarding the test environment, is there a limit for the volume of dossiers submitted? Each user/submitter of the same legal entity can summit in a test environment with no limit? from the same LE?	Currently there is no limit to the number of submissions you can send to the test environment, for all the LE you want. However, please bear in mind that in 2022 ECHA plans to introduce some mechanisms to better regulate the incoming dossier flow to production. You will be still be able to submit all the dossiers you want but extra rules to allow the portal to absorb big volumes will be introduced. Details will be duly communicated in 2022 when the solution is clear.
So if the event "dossier received by" is not shown, the member state has no access to the submitted dossier? All submissions to denmark, czech republic and romania are up to now without this event - still some weeks later. So we need to contact echa for checking this as there may be an issue?	Those MS are not connected to the ECHA Submission portal so it is correct that the event is not displayed in the submission report (the dossier is indeed not available to them yet in the system). You should contact directly those Appointed Bodies: ECHA cannot do more until they connect.
Some earlier submitted product dossiers have disappeared from the submissions portal due to cited storage issues. How can these be retrieved - data restored? Surely it is not necessary to submit/upload the complete data again?	We are not aware of such a shortcoming in the submission portal I kindly ask you to contact the ECHA Help Desk and provide the submission number or the PCN number of the submission you refer to so that we can investigate further.
Some Member states have not accepted notification via ECHA submission portal yet. I supposed that notification according to Annex VIII to CLP in is mandatory for all member states. Is there any transition period deadline to which all MS must accept PCN? Can some MS decide never to accept PCN?	The CLP regulation only makes the format mandatory not the submission channel. In principle it is up to each Member State to decide to accept notifications through the ECHA Submission portal or only through their national system (or both). In practice, we have indication that all Member States will accept notifications through the ECHA portal. As of today, all accept them except 6 Member States, which are dealing with their internal arrangements. The expectation is that they also manage to connect in the near future.

If I have a successful submission report from ECHA for a certain MS, is this enough to have a valid PCN or in addition I need the confirmation from the MS?	The Member States do not send separate confirmations, the relevant information is shown in the Submission Report. In the Overview table the column "Placing on the market mixtures notified via ECHA Submission portal" indicates when the product can be placed on the market (e.g. when passed the validation rules, or when the submission report shows that the notification has been received by the MS). The table is available on the following website: https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/echa-submission-portal
The Group sumbission could be used for e-liquids for e-cigarette with same ingredients but with different levels of nicotine (that in the end affect the final concentration of the main ingredients)?	As long as the difference in the composition concerns only perfumes components (and max 5%), the Group Submission can be used
The LinkedIn Link doesn't work, please repost. You mention in a previous reply access to your LinkedIn group to view version 8.1 (overview of MS decisions in relation to implementation of Annex VIII to CLP) - where can we access this?	If you have joined the LinkedIn group you should be able to access the document https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:6839461567361298432. Alternatively, you can submit a Helpdesk question and we can send the pdf version to you.
There are 3 paint mixtures, all with the same composition with GPI 5%, which correspond to different trade names. Can they be submitted through GS? thank you	If the mixtures differ only for perfumes (regardless how these components are identified, i.e. GCI "perfumes" included) and only for max 5%, the GS can be used
To how many submissions is the Trial ECHA Portal limited? Also, is it limited per submitter or per company/legal entity? If there are several submitters from the same company/legal entity, each can use the Trial portal for the maximum submissions allowed? Thank you We are EU-importers and have several non-EU manufacturers who do not understand that we need 100 % composition of the mixture or a UFI to use. What are our options to comply with PCN regulations in addition to change our manufacturer? Will ECHA release new guidelines how to proceed in this matter?	Currently there is no limit to the number of submissions you can send to the test environment, for all the LE you want. However, please bear in mind that in 2022 ECHA plans to introduce some mechanisms to better regulate the incoming dossier flow to production. You will be still be able to submit all the dossiers you want but extra rules to allow the portal to absorb big volumes will be introduced. Details will be duly communicated in 2022 when the solution is clear. There are three options for the notification (MiM): to have the full composition or the UFI, and the third is that the MiM can be identified with composition from SDS in addition to supplier's details. However, the responsible legal entity remains the importer. The Guidance on Annex VIII (available at https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-clp) suggests a work around in case it is not possible to obtain information about mixtures supplied by non-EU supplier. A non-EU supplier may be asked to submit a voluntary submission via a EU-based legal entity and provide the importer with the UFI (hence maintaining the confidentiality of the compositional information).
We had to take back our notification to correct an error. After resubmitting the notification, a business rule was triggered which says to create a new PCN-number and a new UFI. We did not make any changes to composition during the modification. Can we still use the same UFI?	Could you provide the rule number that fails? Please note that if you do not have successful notification(s) for the mixture in the Portal yet, then you should still submit 'Initial' notification, not 'Update' notification.
We make PCN with the software for preparing our SDS (system to system connection to the submission tool). I am not able to see in our REACH-IT / submission portal account which PCN we sent and	REACH-IT and ECHA submission portal are 2 different submission applications. Both applications have the same log-in credentials because they log-in goes through the same ECHA account portal. However, once you log-in you select the application to enter, and from there on, each application

· ·	is different, they do not share the same submission 'pipeline', functionalities or submission history. Therefore you cannot see both submission types in the same application.
'	Then the S2S component is your own software, not ECHA's. To view the submission history from
	ECHA's submission portal, it would be up to the S2S configuration that you might have, but for this
	configuration, you would need to talk to the software provider.
their status. Is there a way to have this overview in the submission	configuration, you would fleed to talk to the software provider.
portal?	
·	At the moment the validation rules are only able to check that there is minimum requested
_	amount (200) of characters provided.
something other?	amount (200) of characters provided.
	Under the S2S service, a company can create a PCN dossier directly in their own systems, using the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IUCLID-compatible poison centre notification format. Yes, the same S2S key can be used by the
· ·	multiple users in the legal entity. Please consult the ECHA website for more information:
	https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/system-to-system-service
	It is responsibility of the MiM supplier to inform industry about disabled/ceased submissions. No
	information about others' disabled/ceased submissions is sent those who make reference to those
	submissions.
	Follow the link - https://echa.europa.eu/-/webnar-pcn-20211124
	All the information you include in the dossier is made available to PCs as they have full access to
	the PCN dossier. How the information is made available to EA depends on Appointed Bodies. ECHA
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	makes PCN dossiers available only to ABs.
Enforcement authorities (EA) in MS or EA can see only selected	, and the second
information?	
Which MS are not yet unboarded or in progress?	So far only 6 Member States are still in the process of connecting to ECHA's submission system.
Y	You can find them in the 'Overview table' in our website, which we update when we get new
i i	information. These 6 countries are Belgium, Bulgaria, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg and
S	Slovakia. The indication we received from them is that they will all eventually connect.
Why a request of disabling a dossier can failure and be stated as not	It can fail for technical reasons or because of the following: you have opened one submission
disable?	report, the disable option is there, in the meantime a newer submission is performed but you click
t	the disable button in the previous one so the request will fail as long as it does not refer the latest
S	submission.
why the latest overview is not pulished in ECHA website? the link	We have had some issues with our website due to a recent software upgrade. We apologise for
1 '	the inconvenience the delay has caused. The link will work if you are a member of the group. If you
https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:6839461567 c	do not wish to join the group or you do not have a LinkedIn account, please contact the ECHA
361298432). In addition, It is not public! Thank You	Helpdesk and we willprovide it to you.
361298432). In addition, It is not public! Thank You	

Will we still need to pay fees to Belgium poison centre when they	In principle, the submission channel is not related with the national requirements for fees.
will accept notifications from the EU portal, starting January 2022?	However, I suggest you get confirmation from the national authority, as fees is matter fully under
	their competence.
With regards to future functionality, will there be an option to edit	Sorry, we are not informed about this functionality. Please contact the ECHA Help Desk that will
our Annex VIII dossiers without relying on conventional datasets	assign the question to a relevant colleague.
and by when? We were told back in September that ECHA is looking	
to introduce functionality that allows "reverse-engineering" of an	
Annex VIII dossier for editing.	